

# Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Audit Report Adult Prisons & Jails

Interim       Final

**Date of Interim Audit Report:** Click or tap here to enter text.       N/A

*If no Interim Audit Report, select N/A*

**Date of Final Audit Report:** 12/22/2022

## Auditor Information

<b>Name:</b> Lori M. Fadorick	<b>Email:</b> lfadorick@gmail.com
<b>Company Name:</b> American Correctional Association	
<b>Mailing Address:</b> P. O. Box 2634	<b>City, State, Zip:</b> Salem, VA 24153
<b>Telephone:</b> 540-206-9389	<b>Date of Facility Visit:</b> November 7-8, 2022

## Agency Information

<b>Name of Agency:</b> Kentucky Department of Corrections			
<b>Governing Authority or Parent Agency (If Applicable):</b> Justice and Public Safety Cabinet			
<b>Physical Address:</b> 275 East Main Street		<b>City, State, Zip:</b> Frankfort KY 40602	
<b>Mailing Address:</b> P. O. Box 2400		<b>City, State, Zip:</b> Frankfort KY 40602	
<b>The Agency Is:</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Military	<input type="checkbox"/> Private for Profit	<input type="checkbox"/> Private not for Profit
<input type="checkbox"/> Municipal	<input type="checkbox"/> County	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State	<input type="checkbox"/> Federal
<b>Agency Website with PREA Information:</b> <a href="http://corrections.ky.Policyv/about/pages/prison-rape-elimination-act-(PREA).aspx">http://corrections.ky.Policyv/about/pages/prison-rape-elimination-act-(PREA).aspx</a>			

## Agency Chief Executive Officer

<b>Name:</b> Cookie Crews, Commissioner	
<b>Email:</b> cookie.crews@ky.gov	<b>Telephone:</b> 502-562-7259

## Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator

<b>Name:</b> Pamela Clayton	
<b>Email:</b> pamelas.clayton@ky.gov	<b>Telephone:</b> 502-222-0365 x3764
<b>PREA Coordinator Reports to:</b> Shannon Butrum, Compliance Assistant Director	<b>Number of Compliance Managers who report to the PREA Coordinator:</b> 14

## Facility Information

**Name of Facility:** Green River Correctional Complex

**Physical Address:** 1200 River Road

**City, State, Zip:** Central City, KY 42330

**Mailing Address (if different from above):**

Click or tap here to enter text.

**City, State, Zip:** Click or tap here to enter text.

**The Facility Is:**

Military

Private for Profit

Private not for Profit

Municipal

County

State

Federal

**Facility Type:**

Prison

Jail

**Facility Website with PREA Information:** [http://corrections.ky.gov/about/pages/prison-rape-elimination-act-\(PREA\).aspx](http://corrections.ky.gov/about/pages/prison-rape-elimination-act-(PREA).aspx)

**Has the facility been accredited within the past 3 years?**  Yes  No

**If the facility has been accredited within the past 3 years, select the accrediting organization(s) – select all that apply (N/A if the facility has not been accredited within the past 3 years):**

ACA

NCCCHC

CALEA

Other (please name or describe: [Click or tap here to enter text.](#))

N/A

**If the facility has completed any internal or external audits other than those that resulted in accreditation, please describe:**

[Click or tap here to enter text.](#)

### Warden/Jail Administrator/Sheriff/Director

**Name:** Warden Tim Lane

**Email:** [Tim.Lane@ky.gov](mailto:Tim.Lane@ky.gov)

**Telephone:** 270-754-5415

### Facility PREA Compliance Manager

**Name:** Mindy Masden

**Email:** [mindy.masden@ky.gov](mailto:mindy.masden@ky.gov)

**Telephone:** 270-754-5415

### Facility Health Service Administrator N/A

**Name:** Jamie Armstrong

**Email:** [Jamie.armstrong@ky.gov](mailto:Jamie.armstrong@ky.gov)

**Telephone:** 270-754-5415

### Facility Characteristics

**Designated Facility Capacity:**

982

**Current Population of Facility:**

420

**Average daily population for the past 12 months:**

453

Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Which population(s) does the facility hold?	<input type="checkbox"/> Females <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Males <input type="checkbox"/> Both Females and Males
Age range of population:	20-72
Average length of stay or time under supervision:	1857 days
Facility security levels/inmate custody levels:	Minimum, Medium, Max
Number of inmates admitted to facility during the past 12 months:	251
Number of inmates admitted to facility during the past 12 months whose length of stay in the facility was for 72 hours or more:	249
Number of inmates admitted to facility during the past 12 months whose length of stay in the facility was for 30 days or more:	232
Does the facility hold youthful inmates?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Number of youthful inmates held in the facility during the past 12 months: (N/A if the facility never holds youthful inmates)	Click or tap here to enter text. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
Does the audited facility hold inmates for one or more other agencies (e.g. a State correctional agency, U.S. Marshals Service, Bureau of Prisons, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement)?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Select all other agencies for which the audited facility holds inmates: Select all that apply (N/A if the audited facility does not hold inmates for any other agency or agencies):	<input type="checkbox"/> Federal Bureau of Prisons <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Marshals Service <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement <input type="checkbox"/> Bureau of Indian Affairs <input type="checkbox"/> U.S. Military branch <input type="checkbox"/> State or Territorial correctional agency <input type="checkbox"/> County correctional or detention agency <input type="checkbox"/> Judicial district correctional or detention facility <input type="checkbox"/> City or municipal correctional or detention facility (e.g. police lockup or city jail) <input type="checkbox"/> Private corrections or detention provider <input type="checkbox"/> Other - please name or describe: Click or tap here to enter text. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
Number of staff currently employed by the facility who may have contact with inmates:	190
Number of staff hired by the facility during the past 12 months who may have contact with inmates:	147
Number of contracts in the past 12 months for services with contractors who may have contact with inmates:	11
Number of individual contractors who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:	43
Number of volunteers who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:	0
<b>Physical Plant</b>	

<p><b>Number of buildings:</b></p> <p>Auditors should count all buildings that are part of the facility, whether inmates are formally allowed to enter them or not. In situations where temporary structures have been erected (e.g., tents) the auditor should use their discretion to determine whether to include the structure in the overall count of buildings. As a general rule, if a temporary structure is regularly or routinely used to hold or house inmates, or if the temporary structure is used to house or support operational functions for more than a short period of time (e.g., an emergency situation), it should be included in the overall count of buildings.</p>	16
<p><b>Number of inmate housing units:</b></p> <p>Enter 0 if the facility does not have discrete housing units. DOJ PREA Working Group FAQ on the definition of a housing unit: How is a "housing unit" defined for the purposes of the PREA Standards? The question has been raised in particular as it relates to facilities that have adjacent or interconnected units. The most common concept of a housing unit is architectural. The generally agreed-upon definition is a space that is enclosed by physical barriers accessed through one or more doors of various types, including commercial-grade swing doors, steel sliding doors, interlocking sally port doors, etc. In addition to the primary entrance and exit, additional doors are often included to meet life safety codes. The unit contains sleeping space, sanitary facilities (including toilets, lavatories, and showers), and a dayroom or leisure space in differing configurations. Many facilities are designed with modules or pods clustered around a control room. This multiple-pod design provides the facility with certain staff efficiencies and economies of scale. At the same time, the design affords the flexibility to separately house inmates of differing security levels, or who are grouped by some other operational or service scheme. Generally, the control room is enclosed by security glass, and in some cases, this allows inmates to see into neighboring pods. However, observation from one unit to another is usually limited by angled site lines. In some cases, the facility has prevented this entirely by installing one-way glass. Both the architectural design and functional use of these multiple pods indicate that they are managed as distinct housing units.</p>	8
<p><b>Number of single cell housing units:</b></p>	1
<p><b>Number of multiple occupancy cell housing units:</b></p>	6
<p><b>Number of open bay/dorm housing units:</b></p>	1
<p><b>Number of segregation cells (for example, administrative, disciplinary, protective custody, etc.):</b></p>	44
<p><b>In housing units, does the facility maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if the facility never holds youthful inmates)</b></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A
<p><b>Does the facility have a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology (e.g. cameras, etc.)?</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p><b>Has the facility installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology in the past 12 months?</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p><b>Medical and Mental Health Services and Forensic Medical Exams</b></p>	
<p><b>Are medical services provided on-site?</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p><b>Are mental health services provided on-site?</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

<p><b>Where are sexual assault forensic medical exams provided? Select all that apply.</b></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> On-site  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local hospital/clinic  <input type="checkbox"/> Rape Crisis Center  <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please name or describe: <a href="#">Click or tap here to enter text.</a>)</p>
<p><b>Investigations</b></p>	
<p><b>Criminal Investigations</b></p>	
<p><b>Number of investigators employed by the agency and/or facility who are responsible for conducting CRIMINAL investigations into allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>When the facility received allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment (whether staff-on-inmate or inmate-on-inmate), CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS are conducted by: Select all that apply.</b></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Facility investigators  <input type="checkbox"/> Agency investigators  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> An external investigative entity</p>
<p><b>Select all external entities responsible for CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS: Select all that apply (N/A if no external entities are responsible for criminal investigations)</b></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Local police department  <input type="checkbox"/> Local sheriff's department  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State police  <input type="checkbox"/> A U.S. Department of Justice component  <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please name or describe: <a href="#">Click or tap here to enter text.</a>)  <input type="checkbox"/> N/A</p>
<p><b>Administrative Investigations</b></p>	
<p><b>Number of investigators employed by the agency and/or facility who are responsible for conducting ADMINISTRATIVE investigations into allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?</b></p>	<p>Facility 19</p>
<p><b>When the facility receives allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment (whether staff-on-inmate or inmate-on-inmate), ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATIONS are conducted by: Select all that apply</b></p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility investigators  <input type="checkbox"/> Agency investigators  <input type="checkbox"/> An external investigative entity</p>
<p><b>Select all external entities responsible for ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATIONS: Select all that apply (N/A if no external entities are responsible for administrative investigations)</b></p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Local police department  <input type="checkbox"/> Local sheriff's department  <input type="checkbox"/> State police  <input type="checkbox"/> A U.S. Department of Justice component  <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please name or describe: <a href="#">Click or tap here to enter text.</a>)  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A</p>

## Summary of Audit Findings

*The summary should include the number and list of standards exceeded, number of standards met, and number and list of standards not met.*

**Auditor Note:** *No standard should be found to be “Not Applicable” or “NA”. A compliance determination must be made for each standard.*

### Standards Exceeded

**Number of Standards Exceeded:** 5

**List of Standards Exceeded:** 115.11, 115.16, 115.17, 115.42, 115.87

### Standards Met

**Number of Standards Met:** 40

### Standards Not Met

**Number of Standards Not Met:** 0

**List of Standards Not Met:** N/A

# Post-Audit Reporting Information

General Audit Information	
Onsite Audit Dates	
<b>1. Start date of the onsite portion of the audit:</b>	November 7, 2022
<b>2. End date of the onsite portion of the audit:</b>	November 8, 2022
Outreach	
<b>3. Did you attempt to communicate with community-based organization(s) or victim advocates who provide services to this facility and/or who may have insight into relevant conditions in the facility?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>a. If yes, identify the community-based organizations or victim advocates with whom you corresponded:</b>	Sanctuary, Inc.
Audited Facility Information	
<b>4. Designated Facility Capacity:</b>	982
<b>5. Average daily population for the past 12 months:</b>	453
<b>6. Number of inmate/resident/detainee housing units:</b>  DOJ PREA Working Group FAQ on the definition of a housing unit: How is a "housing unit" defined for the purposes of the PREA Standards? The question has been raised in particular as it relates to facilities that have adjacent or interconnected units. The most common concept of a housing unit is architectural. The generally agreed-upon definition is a space that is enclosed by physical barriers accessed through one or more doors of various types, including commercial-grade swing doors, steel sliding doors, interlocking sally port doors, etc. In addition to the primary entrance and exit, additional doors are often included to meet life safety codes. The unit contains sleeping space, sanitary facilities (including toilets, lavatories, and showers), and a dayroom or leisure space in differing configurations. Many facilities are designed with modules or pods clustered around a control room. This multiple-pod design provides the facility with certain staff efficiencies and economies of scale. At the same time, the design affords the flexibility to separately house inmates of differing security levels, or who are grouped by some other operational or service scheme. Generally, the control room is enclosed by security glass, and in some cases, this allows residents to see into neighboring pods. However, observation from one unit to another is usually limited by angled site lines. In some cases, the facility has prevented this entirely by installing one-way glass. Both the architectural design and functional use of these multiple pods indicate that they are managed as distinct housing units.	8
<b>7. Does the facility ever hold youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A for the facility type audited (i.e., Community Confinement Facility or Juvenile Facility)

**Audited Facility Population on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit**

*Inmates/Residents/Detainees*

8. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	411
9. Enter the total number of youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees housed at the facility on the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
10. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	11
11. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
12. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (visually impaired) housed at the facility on the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
13. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing housed at the facility on the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	79
14. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	9
15. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	11
16. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender, or intersex housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	5
17. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in this facility who are housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	7
18. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual harassment in this facility who are housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
19. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	31
20. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are or were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
21. Enter the total number of inmates/residents/detainees who are or were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for having reported sexual abuse in this facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
22. Enter the total number of inmates/residents detained solely for civil immigration purposes housed at the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0



<p><b>23. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit (e.g., groups not tracked, issues with identifying certain populations).</b></p> <p><i>Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.</i></p>	<p>At the time of the onsite review, the facility was in the midst of a Covid outbreak and had several quarantine and lockdown units, from which the auditor was not able to select random or targeted inmates. Documentation was provided by the facility to show that the inmates selected for interview had recently been in various other locations in the facility prior to the Covid outbreak. Based on the documentation provided, the auditor felt comfortable proceeding with the audit due to the availability of a representative sample of both random and targeted inmates.</p>
---	--

*Staff, Volunteers, and Contractors*  
*Include all full- and part-time staff employed by the facility, regardless of their level of contact with inmates/residents/detainees*

<p><b>24. Enter the total number of STAFF, including both full- and part-time staff employed by the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:</b></p>	<p>151</p>
<p><b>25. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:</b></p>	<p>40</p>
<p><b>26. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:</b></p>	<p>0</p>

<p><b>27. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of staff, volunteers, and contractors who were in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit.</b></p> <p><i>Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.</i></p>	<p>The Auditor began conducting random and specialized staff interviews on day one of the onsite audit. The Auditor was provided a private space to conduct the confidential interviews. All staff were made available in a timely manner. No staff refused to be interviewed when requested by the Auditor. All staff interviews were conducted using the established DOJ interview protocols.</p>
---	---

**Interviews**

**Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews**

*Random Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews*

<p><b>28. Enter the total number of RANDOM INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:</b></p>	<p>14</p>
--	-----------

<p><b>29. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected random inmate/resident/detainee interviewees:</b></p>	<p> <input type="checkbox"/> Age  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Race  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ethnicity (e.g., Hispanic, Non-Hispanic)  <input type="checkbox"/> Length of time in the facility  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Housing assignment  <input type="checkbox"/> Gender  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (describe) Inmates were selected from housing area where they had cleared the Covid quarantine protocols. </p>
---	--

	<input type="checkbox"/> None (explain) Click or tap here to enter text.
<b>30. How did you ensure your sample of random inmate/resident/detainee interviewees was geographically diverse?</b>	Reviewed roster and selected based upon the above factors.
<b>31. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of random inmate/resident/detainee interviews?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>a. If no, explain why it was not possible to interview the minimum number of random inmate/resident/detainee interviews:</b>	Click or tap here to enter text.
<b>32. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation, etc.).</b>  <i>Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.</i>	The Auditor began conducting inmate interviews on day two of the on-site portion of the audit. Based upon the inmate population on day one of the audit (411), the PREA Auditor Handbook required that the auditor interview a minimum of 26 inmates, 13 random and 13 targeted. All interviews with inmates occurred in a secure area to ensure privacy. All interviews were conducted using appropriate social distancing and masks by both the auditor and interviewee. Inmates in quarantine areas were not selected to be interviewed. Offender interviews were conducted using the established DOJ interview protocols. If a randomly selected inmate refused to be interviewed, an additional inmate from the same housing area would be selected in an attempt to get a cross section from the entire general population. There were no randomly selected inmates that refused.

*Targeted Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews*

<b>33. Enter the total number of TARGETED INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:</b>  <i>As stated in the PREA Auditor Handbook, the breakdown of targeted interviews is intended to guide auditors in interviewing the appropriate cross-section of inmates/residents/detainees who are the most vulnerable to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. When completing questions regarding targeted inmate/resident/detainee interviews below, remember that an interview with one inmate/resident/detainee may satisfy multiple targeted interview requirements. These questions are asking about the number of interviews conducted using the targeted inmate/resident/detainee protocols.</i>  <i>For example, if an auditor interviews an inmate who has a physical disability, is being held in segregated housing due to risk of sexual victimization, and disclosed prior sexual victimization, that interview would be included in the totals for each of those questions. Therefore, in most cases, the sum of all the following responses to the targeted inmate/resident/detainee interview categories will exceed the total number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees who were interviewed.</i>	14
--	----

<p><i>If a particular targeted population is not applicable in the audited facility, enter "0".</i></p>	
<p><b>34. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with youthful inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees using the "Youthful Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	0
<p><b>a. If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. <input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
<p><b>b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</b></p>	Facility does not hold juvenile offenders
<p><b>35. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a physical disability using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	2

<p><b>a. If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</b></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees. <input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.
<p><b>b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</b></p>	N/A
<p><b>36. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:</b></p>	0

<p>a. If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were “none here” during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p>b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p>	<p>Based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates</p>
<p>37. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (visually impaired) using the “Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates” protocol:</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>a. If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were “none here” during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p>b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p>	<p>Based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates</p>
<p>38. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing using the “Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates” protocol:</p>	<p>7</p>
<p>a. If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were “none here” during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p>b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>39. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) using the “Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates” protocol:</p>	<p>1</p>
<p>a. If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were “none here” during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>

<p>b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>40. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual using the “Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates” protocol:</p>	<p>5</p>
<p>a. If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were “none here” during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p>b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>41. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex “Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates” protocol:</p>	<p>3</p>
<p>a. If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were “none here” during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p>b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>42. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in this facility using the “Inmates who Reported a Sexual Abuse” protocol:</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>a. If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were “none here” during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p>b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p>	<p>Based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates</p>
<p>43. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening using the “Inmates who Disclosed Sexual Victimization during Risk Screening” protocol:</p>	<p>6</p>

<p>a. If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were “none here” during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p>b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p>	<p>N/A</p>
<p>44. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/detainees who are or were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization using the “Inmates Placed in Segregated Housing (for Risk of Sexual Victimization/Who Alleged to have Suffered Sexual Abuse)” protocol:</p>	<p>0</p>
<p>a. If 0, select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/detainees in this category:</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Facility said there were “none here” during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</p>
<p>b. If 0, discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/residents/detainees).</p>	<p>Based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates</p>
<p>45. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation, etc.).</p> <p><i>Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.</i></p>	<p>The Auditor began conducting targeted inmate interviews on day two of the on-site portion of the audit. Based upon the inmate population on day one of the audit (411), the PREA Auditor Handbook required that the auditor interview a minimum 13 targeted inmates. All interviews with inmates occurred in a secure area away to ensure privacy. All interviews were conducted using appropriate social distancing and masks by both the auditor and interviewee. Inmates in quarantine areas were not selected to be interviewed. Offender interviews were conducted using the established DOJ interview protocols. If an inmate refused to be interviewed, an additional inmate from the same targeted group would be selected. There were no randomly selected inmates that refused.</p>
<p><b>Staff, Volunteer, and Contractor Interviews</b></p>	
<p><i>Random Staff Interviews</i></p>	
<p>46. Enter the total number of RANDOM STAFF who were interviewed:</p>	<p>14</p>
<p>47. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM STAFF interviewees (select all that apply):</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Length of tenure in the facility</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Shift assignment</p>

	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Work assignment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rank (or equivalent) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (describe) Click or tap here to enter text. <input type="checkbox"/> None (explain) Click or tap here to enter text.
<b>48. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of RANDOM STAFF interviews?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>a. If no, select the reasons why you were not able to conduct the minimum number of RANDOM STAFF interviews (select all that apply):</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Too many staff declined to participate in interviews <input type="checkbox"/> Not enough staff employed by the facility to meet the minimum number of random staff interviews (Note: select this option if there were not enough staff employed by the facility or not enough staff employed by the facility to interview for both random and specialized staff roles). <input type="checkbox"/> Not enough staff available in the facility during the onsite portion of the audit to meet the minimum number of random staff interviews. <input type="checkbox"/> Other (describe) Click or tap here to enter text.
<b>b. Describe the steps you took to select additional RANDOM STAFF interviewees and why you were still unable to meet the minimum number of random staff interviews:</b>	N/A
<b>49. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random staff (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, etc.).</b>  <i>Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.</i>	None
<i>Specialized Staff, Volunteers, and Contractor Interviews</i> <u>Staff in some facilities may be responsible for more than one of the specialized staff duties. Therefore, more than one interview protocol may apply to an interview with a single staff member and that interview would satisfy multiple specialized staff interview requirements.</u>	
<b>50. Enter the total number of staff in a SPECIALIZED STAFF role who were interviewed (excluding volunteers and contractors):</b>	16
<b>51. Were you able to interview the Agency Head?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>a. If no, explain why it was not possible to interview the Agency Head:</b>	Not available
<b>52. Were you able to interview the Warden/Facility Director/Superintendent or their designee?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>a. If no, explain why it was not possible to interview the Warden/Facility Director/Superintendent or their designee:</b>	Click or tap here to enter text.
<b>53. Were you able to interview the PREA Coordinator?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>a. If no, explain why it was not possible to interview the PREA Coordinator:</b>	Click or tap here to enter text.
<b>54. Were you able to interview the PREA Compliance Manager?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A (N/A if the agency is a single facility agency or is otherwise not required to have a PREA Compliance Manager per the Standards)
<b>a. If no, explain why it was not possible to interview the PREA Compliance Manager:</b>	Click or tap here to enter text.

<p><b>55. Select which SPECIALIZED STAFF roles were interviewed as part of this audit (select all that apply):</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Agency contract administrator <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Intermediate or higher-level facility staff responsible for conducting and documenting unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment <input type="checkbox"/> Line staff who supervise youthful inmates (if applicable) <input type="checkbox"/> Education and program staff who work with youthful inmates (if applicable) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medical staff <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Mental health staff <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Non-medical staff involved in cross-gender strip or visual searches <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Administrative (human resources) staff <input type="checkbox"/> Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner (SAFE) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) staff <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Investigative staff responsible for conducting administrative investigations <input type="checkbox"/> Investigative staff responsible for conducting criminal investigations <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Staff who perform screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing/residents in isolation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Staff on the sexual abuse incident review team <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Designated staff member charged with monitoring retaliation <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> First responders, both security and non-security staff <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Intake staff <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (describe) <b>Training</b>
<p><b>56. Did you interview VOLUNTEERS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility?</b></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<p><b>a. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS who were interviewed:</b></p>	<p>0</p>
<p><b>b. Select which specialized VOLUNTEER role(s) were interviewed as part of this audit (select all that apply):</b></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Education/programming <input type="checkbox"/> Medical/dental <input type="checkbox"/> Mental health/counseling <input type="checkbox"/> Religious <input type="checkbox"/> Other
<p><b>57. Did you interview CONTRACTORS who may have contact with inmates/residents/detainees in this facility?</b></p>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<p><b>a. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS who were interviewed:</b></p>	<p>5</p>
<p><b>b. Select which specialized CONTRACTOR role(s) were interviewed as part of this audit (select all that apply):</b></p>	<input type="checkbox"/> Security/detention <input type="checkbox"/> Education/programming <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Medical/dental <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Food service



	<input type="checkbox"/> Maintenance/construction <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Commissary (Keefe)
<b>58. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing specialized staff (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, etc.).</b>  <i>Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.</i>	Volunteers were not yet allowed back in the facility due to Covid related restrictions, so none were interviewed.

### Site Review and Documentation Sampling

#### Site Review

*PREA Standard 115.401(h) states, "The auditor shall have access to, and shall observe, all areas of the audited facilities." In order to meet the requirements in this Standard, the site review portion of the onsite audit must include a thorough examination of the entire facility. The site review is not a casual tour of the facility. It is an active, inquiring process that includes talking with staff and inmates to determine whether, and the extent to which, the audited facility's practices demonstrate compliance with the Standards. Note: discussions related to testing critical functions are expected to be included in the relevant Standard-specific overall determination narratives.*

<b>59. Did you have access to all areas of the facility?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>a. If no, explain what areas of the facility you were unable to access and why.</b>	Click or tap here to enter text.
<b>Was the site review an active, inquiring process that included the following:</b>	
<b>60. Reviewing/examining all areas of the facility in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>a. If no, explain why the site review did not include reviewing/examining all areas of the facility.</b>	Click or tap here to enter text.
<b>61. Testing and/or observing all critical functions in the facility in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., intake process, risk screening process, PREA education)?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>a. If no, explain why the site review did not include testing and/or observing all critical functions in the facility.</b>	Click or tap here to enter text.
<b>62. Informal conversations with inmates/residents/detainees during the site review (encouraged, not required)?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>63. Informal conversations with staff during the site review (encouraged, not required)?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

<b>64. Provide any additional comments regarding the site review (e.g., access to areas in the facility, observations, tests of critical functions, or informal conversations).</b>  <i>Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.</i>	The Auditor had full, unimpeded access to all areas of the facility. During the review of the physical plant, the Auditor observed the facility layout, staff supervision of offenders, security rounds, interaction between staff and offenders, shower and toilet areas, placement of PREA posters, observation of availability of PREA information located adjacent to and in the inmate housing areas, observation of communication in
---	--

general population housing areas, as well as restrictive housing cells, search procedures, and availability and access of medical and mental health services. The Auditor observed and made note of the video monitoring system and camera placement throughout the facility, including reviewing the monitors in the control room.

**Documentation Sampling**

*Where there is a collection of records to review—such as staff, contractor, and volunteer training records; background check records; supervisory rounds logs; risk screening and intake processing records; inmate education records; medical files; and investigative files—auditors must self-select for review a representative sample of each type of record.*

**65. In addition to the proof documentation selected by the agency or facility and provided to you, did you also conduct an auditor-selected sampling of documentation?**

Yes     No

**66. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting additional documentation (e.g., any documentation you oversampled, barriers to selecting additional documentation, etc.).**

*Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.*

The Auditor conducted a document review of employee and inmate files, and a spot check of documents that were previously provided to the auditor along with the PAQ. The Auditor reviewed a random sampling of personnel files to determine compliance related to standards on hiring and promotion and background check procedures for officers and contract staff. The auditor reviewed the annual PREA training rosters maintained by the training staff and cross referenced the staff files with the training rosters to ensure training was verified. The training staff explained the process for relaying the mandated PREA information to new hires, as well as the procedure for annual refresher training. Random offender case files were reviewed to evaluate intake procedures, including screening and subsequent housing decisions, and verify offender PREA education. In addition, the intake and receiving procedures were observed and intake screenings are conducted in private. The Auditor requested additional supporting documentation to include: training records for randomly chosen staff, randomly chosen inmate medical records, randomly chosen inmate classification records, volunteer records, contractor records, and staff personnel files including PREA disclosure forms for hiring and promotions if applicable.

**Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Allegations and Investigations in this Facility**

**Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Allegations and Investigations Overview**

*Remember the number of allegations should be based on a review of all sources of allegations (e.g., hotline, third-party, grievances) and should not be based solely on the number of investigations conducted.*

Note: For question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, or detainee sexual abuse allegations and investigations, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

**67. Total number of SEXUAL ABUSE allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:**

Instructions: If you are unable to provide information for one or more of the fields below, enter an "X" in the field(s) where information cannot be provided.

	# of sexual abuse allegations	# of criminal investigations	# of administrative investigations	# of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations
<u>Inmate-on-inmate</u> sexual abuse	1	1	1	0
<u>Staff-on-inmate</u> sexual abuse	5	0	3	2
Total	6	0	4	2

a. If you were unable to provide any of the information above, explain why this information could not be provided.

N/A

**68. Total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:**

Instructions: If you are unable to provide information for one or more of the fields below, enter an "X" in the field(s) where information cannot be provided.

	# of sexual harassment allegations	# of criminal investigations	# of administrative investigations	# of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations
<u>Inmate-on-inmate</u> sexual harassment	2	0	2	0
<u>Staff-on-inmate</u> sexual harassment	0	0	0	0
Total	2	0	2	0

a. If you were unable to provide any of the information above, explain why this information could not be provided.

N/A

**Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes**

*Sexual Abuse Investigation Outcomes*

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently (i.e., if a criminal investigation was referred for prosecution and resulted in a conviction, that investigation outcome should only appear in the count for "convicted.") Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual abuse investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

**69. Criminal SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:**

Instructions: If you are unable to provide information for one or more of the fields below, enter an "X" in the field(s) where information cannot be provided.

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/Court Case Filed	Convicted/Adjudicated	Acquitted
<u>Inmate-on-inmate</u> sexual abuse	0	0	0	0	0
<u>Staff-on-inmate</u> sexual abuse	0	0	2	0	0
Total	0	0	2	0	0

<p>a. If you were unable to provide any of the information above, explain why this information could not be provided.</p>	<p>N/A</p>
---	------------

**70. Administrative SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:**

*Instructions: If you are unable to provide information for one or more of the fields below, enter an "X" in the field(s) where information cannot be provided.*

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	1	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse	0	2	1	2
Total	0	3	1	2

<p>a. If you were unable to provide any of the information above, explain why this information could not be provided.</p>	<p>N/A</p>
---	------------

*Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes*

*Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently. Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual harassment investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.*

**71. Criminal SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:**

*Instructions: If you are unable to provide information for one or more of the fields below, enter an "X" in the field(s) where information cannot be provided.*

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/Court Case Filed	Convicted/Adjudicated	Acquitted
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0

<p>a. If you were unable to provide any of the information above, explain why this information could not be provided.</p>	<p>N/A</p>
---	------------

**72. Administrative SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:**

*Instructions: If you are unable to provide information for one or more of the fields below, enter an "X" in the field(s) where information cannot be provided.*

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	1	1	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0
Total	0	1	1	0

<p>a. If you were unable to provide any of the information above, explain why this information could not be provided.</p>	<p>N/A</p>
---	------------

*Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review*

*Sexual Abuse Investigation Files Selected for Review*

<p>73. Enter the total number of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:</p>	<p>6</p>
<p>a. If 0, explain why you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files:</p>	<p>Click or tap here to enter text.</p>
<p>74. Did your selection of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes    <input type="checkbox"/> No  <input type="checkbox"/> N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files)</p>

**Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files**

<p>75. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:</p>	<p>1</p>
<p>76. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes    <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No  <input type="checkbox"/> N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>
<p>77. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes    <input type="checkbox"/> No  <input type="checkbox"/> N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>

**Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files**

<p>78. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:</p>	<p>5</p>
<p>79. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes    <input type="checkbox"/> No  <input type="checkbox"/> N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>
<p>80. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?</p>	<p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes    <input type="checkbox"/> No  <input type="checkbox"/> N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</p>

*Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review*

<p>81. Enter the total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:</p>	<p>2</p>
<p>a. If 0, explain why you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files:</p>	<p>Click or tap here to enter text.</p>
<p>82. Did your selection of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes    <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No  <input type="checkbox"/> N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files)</p>

**Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files**

<p>83. Enter the total number of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:</p>	<p>2</p>
<p>84. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include criminal investigations?</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> Yes    <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p>

	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)
--	--

<b>85. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)
---	---

**Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files**

<b>86. Enter the total number of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:</b>	0
--	---

<b>87. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include criminal investigations?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)
--	--

<b>88. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A (N/A if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)
--	--

<b>89. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting and reviewing sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigation files.</b>  <i>Note: as this text will be included in the audit report, please do not include any personally identifiable information or other information that could compromise the confidentiality of any persons in the facility.</i>	<p>The Auditor reviewed the investigative files for the 8 allegations of PREA related misconduct during the previous 12 months. The Auditor reviewed the investigative files, which included interview notes, medical as well as mental health records and findings. There were two incidents referred to the KSP for review and investigation, which resulted in referral for</p>
--	--

**Support Staff Information**

**DOJ-certified PREA Auditors Support Staff**

<b>90. Did you receive assistance from any DOJ-CERTIFIED PREA AUDITORS at any point during this audit?</b>  <i>Remember: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
---	---

<b>a. If yes, enter the TOTAL NUMBER OF DOJ-CERTIFIED PREA AUDITORS who provided assistance at any point during the audit:</b>	Click or tap here to enter text.
--	----------------------------------

**Non-certified Support Staff**

<b>91. Did you receive assistance from any NON-CERTIFIED SUPPORT STAFF at any point during this audit?</b>  <i>Remember: the audit includes all activities from the pre-onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
---	---

<b>a. If yes, enter the TOTAL NUMBER OF NON-CERTIFIED SUPPORT STAFF who provided assistance at any point during the audit:</b>	Click or tap here to enter text.
--	----------------------------------

### Auditing Arrangements and Compensation

**92. Who paid you to conduct this audit?**

- The audited facility or its parent agency
- My state/territory or county government (if you audit as part of a consortium or circular auditing arrangement, select this option)
- A third-party auditing entity (e.g., accreditation body, consulting firm)
- Other

## PREVENTION PLANNING

### Standard 115.11: Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by The Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.11 (a)

- Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?  Yes  No
- Does the written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment?  Yes  No

#### 115.11 (b)

- Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator?  Yes  No
- Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy?  Yes  No
- Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities?  
 Yes  No

#### 115.11 (c)

- If this agency operates more than one facility, has each facility designated a PREA compliance manager? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)  Yes  No  NA
- Does the PREA compliance manager have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)  
 Yes  No  NA

#### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

#### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does



*not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

#### Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 3.22, 14.7
3. GRCC Organizational Chart
4. Interviews with Staff including the following:
  - a. PREA Coordinator
  - b. Warden
  - c. PCM
5. Interviews with Inmates
6. Observations during on-site review

The Auditor reviewed the KYDOC Policies. The Department has a comprehensive PREA policy which clearly mandates a zero-tolerance policy on all forms of sexual abuse and harassment. The language in the policy provides definitions of prohibited behaviors in accordance with the standard and includes notice of sanctions for those who have been found to have participated in prohibited behaviors. The definitions contained in the policy are consistent and in compliance with PREA definitions. The policy details the agency overall approach to preventing, detecting and responding to sexual abuse and harassment. The culture of “zero tolerance” is apparent throughout the facility as evidenced by informational posters and interactions and interviews with both offenders and staff. The zero-tolerance mandate is taken seriously by the staff at the facility and this is reflected in both the staff and offender interviews.

The KYDOC has designated an upper-level staff as the agency-wide PREA Coordinator for the department. She is a supervisor in the Department’s Compliance Division and all 14 PREA Compliance Managers report to her. By virtue of her position, she has the authority to develop, implement and oversee the Department’s efforts to comply with PREA standards. There appears to be an open line of communication between all levels of staff at the Department and facility levels. The PREA Coordinator is directly involved in the implementation efforts, as well as handling and reviewing individual offender issues for the agency.

The GRCC has designated an upper-level staff member as the PREA Compliance Manager. Her position is Procedures Development Coordinator and reports to the Warden. A review of the organizational chart reflects this position in organizational structure. The PCM reports that she has sufficient time and by virtue of her position, the authority to develop, implement and oversee the facility’s efforts to comply with PREA standards. There appears to be an open line of communication between all levels of staff at the facility and the PCM is involved in the implementation efforts, as well as handling and reviewing individual offender issues at the facility level.

Interviews with staff indicated that they were trained in and understood the zero-tolerance policy established by the GRCC. They understand their role with regard to prevention, detection and response procedures.

In addition to the designated PREA Compliance Manager, KYDOC has designated a back-up PCM to assist in overseeing PREA compliance efforts at the facility.

A targeted interview with the Warden indicated that he felt that one of GRCC’s strengths is the PCM ensures that when allegations are reported they are handled promptly and correctly all the way through the process. He stated all allegations are taken seriously and investigated.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility exceeds the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## Standard 115.12: Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

### 115.12 (a)

- If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its inmates with private agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's obligation to comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.)  Yes  No  NA

### 115.12 (b)

- Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.)  Yes  No  NA

### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. Memo
3. Interviews with Staff including the following:
  - a. PREA Coordinator
  - b. Contract Monitor

The KYDOC has included language in all contracts (Master Agreements) to ensure that all contracted facilities comply with provisions of PREA. Targeted interviews with both the Contract Monitor for the agency and the PREA Coordinator confirm that all related contracts include language requiring compliance with PREA standards.

The Green River Correctional Complex (GRCC) does not house inmates contracted by other entities or contract with other entities to house GRCC inmates. GRCC only houses state inmates and the Kentucky Department of Corrections contracts with halfway houses through Master Agreement to house state inmates.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## Standard 115.13: Supervision and monitoring

### All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.13 (a)

- Does the facility have a documented staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse?  Yes  No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Generally accepted detention and correctional practices?  Yes  No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any judicial findings of inadequacy?  Yes  No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies?  Yes  No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies?  Yes  No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: All components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or inmates may be isolated)?  Yes  No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The composition of the inmate population?  Yes  No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The number and placement of supervisory staff?  Yes  No

- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The institution programs occurring on a particular shift?  Yes  No  NA
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards?  Yes  No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse?  Yes  No
- In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any other relevant factors?  Yes  No

#### 115.13 (b)

- In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.)  
 Yes  No  NA

#### 115.13 (c)

- In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?  Yes  No
- In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies?  Yes  No
- In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan?  Yes  No

#### 115.13 (d)

- Has the facility/agency implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher-level supervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment?  Yes  No
- Is this policy and practice implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts?  Yes  No
- Does the facility/agency have a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that these supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational functions of the facility?  Yes  No

#### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

**Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)

- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

#### Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 3.22
3. Staffing Formula for GRCC (10-27-21)
4. Staffing Plan Review 9-2-2022
5. Incident Report Summary
6. Staffing plan memos
7. Unannounced rounds
8. Observations during on-site review

#### Interviews with the following:

- PCM
- Deputy Warden
- Random Staff
- Supervisors Responsible for Conducting Unannounced Rounds

#### Observation of the following:

- Observation of unannounced rounds by supervisors as well as auditors during the site review
- Observation of supervisors documenting rounds in the daily logbooks on the duty post during the site review

The GRCC has a comprehensive staffing plan that addresses all required elements of the standard. The staffing plan addresses staffing in each area, staffing ratios, programming, facility layout, composition of the inmate population, video monitoring and other relevant factors. The most recent review of the staffing analysis was completed on September 2, 2022. The facility staffing is based upon a multi-faceted formula to determine the number of staff needed for essential positions. While the facility does employ voluntary and/or mandated overtime, there have been deviations from the staffing plan due to staffing. The staffing plan does require any deviations be documented and justified. Each time the staffing plan is not complied with, the facility documents and justifies all deviations from the staffing plan through an Incident Report Tracking (IRT). Notations and daily deviations from the regular staffing plan are notated by the Captain, including which non-essential posts were closed. According to the Warden, they ensure that staffing does not fall below the minimum required by holding staff over and/or getting assistance from staff at other institutions. At the time of the on-site review, according to the Warden, the facility was at a 75% vacancy rate.

The average daily population since the last PREA Audit is 514. The staffing plan is predicated on a population of 521. The auditor reviewed the facility's current staffing plan as well as the most recent staffing plan review. In that review, they have documented that they have considered all of the elements from standard 115.13 (a) (1-15) as part of the review. During a targeted interview with the Warden, the auditor verified that he reviews the annual staffing plan and is a part of the review meeting. The Warden stated that they do consider the use of CCTV in considering the staffing plan. They have recently switched out some of their cameras and have added additional cameras in the past year. They are in the process of running cable in the facility in order to add more than 200 cameras. There are also additional upgrades planned in the future.

The auditor reviewed the most recent annual review, and the facility's review was in compliance with the elements of 115.13(a). In addition, during the on-site review, the auditor reviewed the deployment of CCTV monitoring. The facility has a camera surveillance system comprised of multiple monitors located in the control room. These screens are monitored by staff at all times. The most recent review of the staffing plan indicated the video monitoring system and placement of cameras were reviewed. The cameras are accessible from multiple locations in the facility.

The staffing plan appears satisfactory in the agency's efforts to provide protection against sexual abuse and harassment. The Auditor observed cameras in all areas of the facility. There appeared to be open communication between staff and inmates. The Auditor observed formal and informal interactions between staff and inmates.

In the PAQ, the agency reports that they conduct unannounced rounds on all shifts. A review of the KYDOC policies indicated that policy requires that supervisors will conduct and document unannounced rounds each shift, and that there is a prohibition against staff alerting other staff of the rounds. This language is also included in the post orders. During the pre-audit phase, the facility provided the auditor a sample of documentation of unannounced rounds for each shift. This documentation sampling verified that unannounced rounds were conducted during all shifts. During the on-site portion of the audit, the auditor reviewed logbooks that verified that unannounced rounds were recorded daily. Interviews with supervisors, as well as line staff and inmates indicate that the rounds are unannounced and random.

After a review, the Auditor determined that the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## Standard 115.14: Youthful inmates

### All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.14 (a)

- Does the facility place all youthful inmates in housing units that separate them from sight, sound, and physical contact with any adult inmates through use of a shared dayroom or other common space, shower area, or sleeping quarters? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].)  Yes  No  NA

#### 115.14 (b)

- In areas outside of housing units does the agency maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].)  Yes  No  NA

- In areas outside of housing units does the agency provide direct staff supervision when youthful inmates and adult inmates have sight, sound, or physical contact? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].)  Yes  No  NA

### 115.14 (c)

- Does the agency make its best efforts to avoid placing youthful inmates in isolation to comply with this provision? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].)  Yes  No  NA
- Does the agency, while complying with this provision, allow youthful inmates daily large-muscle exercise and legally required special education services, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].)  Yes  No  NA
- Do youthful inmates have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].)  Yes  No  NA

### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

#### Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 18.3
3. Review of population report on the day of the audit as well as population reports from the previous 12 months
4. Interviews with Staff
5. Memo

#### Interviews with the following:

- PREA Compliance Manager

#### Observation of the following:

- Site Review

The GRCC does not house youthful offenders.

The PAQ, documentation submitted and interviews with staff confirm that there have been no youthful offenders housed at the GRCC within the audit period.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## Standard 115.15: Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches

### All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.15 (a)

- Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners?  
 Yes  No

#### 115.15 (b)

- Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.)  
 Yes  No  NA
- Does the facility always refrain from restricting female inmates' access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.)  Yes  No  NA

#### 115.15 (c)

- Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches?  Yes  No
- Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.)  Yes  No  NA

#### 115.15 (d)

- Does the facility have policies that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?  Yes  No
- Does the facility have procedures that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?  Yes  No



- Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit?  Yes  No

#### 115.15 (e)

- Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex inmates for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status?  Yes  No
- If an inmate's genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the inmate, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner?  Yes  No

#### 115.15 (f)

- Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?  Yes  No
- Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?  Yes  No

#### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

#### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

#### Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 3.22, 14.7, 9.8
3. Strip Search Log
4. Lesson Plan for Searches
5. Memos
6. Training Rosters
7. Post Orders

Interviews with the following:

- Training staff
- Random Staff
- Medical Staff
- Random Inmates

Observation of the following:

- Observation of inmate housing area
- Observation of CCTV coverage of housing areas and individual protective cells
- Observation of staff announcing the presence of opposite gender staff during site review

The KYDOC policies prohibit cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches except when performed by medical personnel. The GRCC does not conduct cross-gender strip searches or cross-gender visual body cavity searches except when performed by medical practitioners. There is no exigent circumstance exception in the policy. Interviews with staff, including medical personnel indicate operational practice is consistent with this policy. The facility reports in the PAQ and verified through staff interviews that no cross-gender strip searches or visual body cavity exams have occurred. The auditor reviewed strip search logs during the on-site review.

The GRCC only holds male offenders.

KYDOC policy states that inmates are able to shower, change clothes and perform bodily functions without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or incidental to routine cell checks. The toilet areas had modesty shielding and the showers were adequately private. A review of CCTV coverage in common areas and individual protective cells revealed that the cameras were pointed away from toilet areas or covered.

The policy states that staff of the opposite gender shall announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit. This language is also included in the GRCC duty post orders. Female officers can supervise the male housing units. There are multiple safeguards in place to ensure that offenders are aware that female staff are on duty. There are announcements made, it is logged, there is a light that is turned on, and there is also a placard that is put up when female officers are working that says "female on duty." Random inmate interviews indicated that there is not an issue with them being able to change clothes, shower or perform bodily functions without the female officers seeing them. Offender interviews indicated that announcements are being made when opposite gender staff enter the housing units. Staff interviews also indicate the offenders' privacy from being viewed by opposite gender staff is protected. Curtains and partitions afford offenders appropriate privacy while still affording staff the ability to appropriately monitor safety and security. Cameras are placed appropriately so that shower and toilet areas are not in view.

GRCC policy prohibits searching or physically examining a transgender or intersex offender for the sole purpose of determining the offender's genital status. According to targeted interviews with medical staff and review of logs during the on-site portion of the audit, no inmate has been examined for the purpose of determining gender status. This was also confirmed through memo by the PCM. During staff interviews, staff were clear in their understanding and were able to articulate that they could determine this information other ways, including asking the offender.

During the pre-audit portion of the audit, the auditor reviewed the training presentation that is provided to all employees regarding how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches as well as how to properly search transgendered and intersex inmates in accordance with this standard. According to the PAQ, 100% of all employees hired in the last 12 months received the required training. The Training staff also provided training rosters for facility staff. During the on-site document review of employee files, the

auditor verified the documents in the employee files provided during the pre-audit phase. KYDOC policies require all staff to be trained on how to conduct searches, including those of transgender and intersex offenders. Staff indicated that they are trained to do cross-gender searches at the academy and were generally able to articulate to the Auditor how they would accomplish a search of a transgender inmate. A targeted interview with the training coordinator indicates officers are trained on how to do searches of transgender and intersex offenders during their initial training, as well as during in-service. The Auditor reviewed the training outline and found it to be in compliance with the standard. The training coordinator provided the auditor with a print out of all completed in-service for the year thus far. During the random staff interviews, all employees interviewed recalled being provided training on how to perform cross-gender pat down searches as well as how to search transgendered or intersex inmates.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## **Standard 115.16: Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient**

**All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**

### **115.16 (a)**

- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing?  Yes  No
- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are blind or have low vision?  Yes  No
- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have intellectual disabilities?  Yes  No
- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have psychiatric disabilities?  Yes  No
- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have speech disabilities?  Yes  No
- Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect,

and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes)?  Yes  No

- Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing?  Yes  No
- Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?  Yes  No
- Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have intellectual disabilities?  Yes  No
- Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have limited reading skills?  Yes  No
- Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Are blind or have low vision?  Yes  No

#### 115.16 (b)

- Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to inmates who are limited English proficient?  Yes  No
- Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?  Yes  No

#### 115.16 (c)

- Does the agency always refrain from relying on inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistance except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.64, or the investigation of the inmate's allegations?  Yes  No

#### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

#### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 14.7
3. Forms and pamphlets
4. Deaf Handout
5. Review of PREA training curriculum with section on effective communications
6. Employee training rosters for the past 12 months
7. PREA Training Video in English and Spanish and with subtitles
8. Agreement with commercial interpreter service

Interviews with the following:

- PREA Compliance Manager
- Random Staff
- Classification Staff
- Intake Staff
- Inmates who have limited English proficiency and cognitive disabilities

Observation of the following:

- Observation of Interpretive Service access posters in classification as well as booking area

The GRCC takes appropriate steps to ensure that offenders with disabilities, including those who are deaf, blind or have intellectual limitations have an equal opportunity to participate and benefit from all aspects of the facility's efforts to prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse and harassment. KYDOC policy is written in accordance with the standard and indicates that during intake, offenders determined to have disabilities will have accommodations made to ensure that materials are received in a format or through a method that ensures effective communication. Interviews with the PREA Coordinator, Unit Team and Intake staff, and PCM indicate that GRCC ensures that any offenders with significant disabilities that required any special accommodations would be identified at intake and this would be notated in KOMS. Intake staff would make referrals as appropriate for any inmates with current alerts. Staff would ensure the offender was able to fully participate and benefit from all aspects of the facility's efforts to prevent and/or respond to sexual abuse and harassment.

Interviews with staff, including supervisory staff and intake officers confirm that they have a process in place to ensure that all inmates, regardless of disability would have equal access to PREA information. Auditors observed PREA informational posters throughout the facility in both English and Spanish. Spanish is the prevalent non-English language in the area. During interviews with staff responsible for intake and classification, when asked how they ensured that inmates with disabilities were provided access to the PREA program, staff indicated that it would be handled on a case-by-case basis. Staff are aware of the availability of a telephone based interpretive service for LEP inmates. The facility has the PREA brochure in a variety of formats, including braille in both English and Spanish, large print, and information for deaf or hard of hearing.

KYDOC policy indicates that offenders who are limited English proficient have access all aspects of the facility's efforts to prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse and harassment, including providing interpreters. The Auditor determined through staff interviews that the GRCC has interpreters available

for limited English proficient offenders through the use of a telephone-based interpreter service. GRCC has the availability to use ASL Interpreting Services to provide interpreter services to the inmates if needed. The auditor verified the availability of this service. The facility indicated through memo and on the PAQ that this service was not used during this audit cycle. Facility staff also have access to the interpreter line contracted through Wellpath, Language Line Solutions.

During the on-site portion of the audit, the Auditor was able to speak with two inmates who had been identified as having a physical disability, and one inmate identified as limited English proficient. During the targeted interviews, the inmates were able to answer the auditor's questions and were aware of PREA. The use of the interpretive service was not necessary as the inmate could speak English.

The Auditor was also able to speak with seven inmates identified as hearing impaired. During the targeted interviews, the inmates were able to answer the auditor's questions and were aware of PREA. GRCC has access to the Video Remote Interpretation (VRI) system, which allows a hearing person and a deaf person who signs to communicate with each other. GRCC has not had an incident where staff had to utilize this system to address any PREA issue.

The KYDOC policy prohibits the use of inmate interpreters except in instances where a significant delay could compromise the offender's safety. Interviews with staff indicate that offenders are not and would not be used as interpreters. During the random staff interviews, no staff member said it was appropriate to use an inmate interpreter when responding to allegations of inmate sexual abuse. According to the targeted interview with the PCM and a memo in the file, as well as the PAQ, there were no instances of the use of an inmate interpreter even in exigent circumstances.

The annual in-service staff training includes a 2-hour module on Communicating with Deaf and Hard of Hearing inmates. In addition, the facility has the PREA related information and handouts in a multitude of formats above and beyond the minimum.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility exceeds the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## Standard 115.17: Hiring and promotion decisions

### All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.17 (a)

- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?  Yes  No
- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?  Yes  No
- Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above?  Yes  No

- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?  Yes  No
- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?  Yes  No
- Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above?  Yes  No

#### 115.17 (b)

- Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with inmates?  Yes  No
- Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates?  Yes  No

#### 115.17 (c)

- Before hiring new employees, who may have contact with inmates, does the agency perform a criminal background records check?  Yes  No
- Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency, consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse?  Yes  No

#### 115.17 (d)

- Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates?  Yes  No

#### 115.17 (e)

- Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with inmates or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees?  Yes  No

#### 115.17 (f)

- Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions?  Yes  No

- Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees?  Yes  No
- Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct?  Yes  No

#### 115.17 (g)

- Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination?  Yes  No

#### 115.17 (h)

- Does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.)  Yes  No  NA

#### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

#### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 3.1, 3.6
3. Hiring Background Packet
4. Background Check on All Employees
5. Review of recently promoted employee files from the past 12 months
6. Reviews of randomly selected employee files
7. Review of randomly selected volunteer files
8. Background Information on Contract Employees hired within the last 12 months
9. Background Information on Medical Employees
10. Interviews with PREA Coordinator, Investigator and Human Resources



## Findings:

KYDOC policy is written in accordance with the standard. The GRCC does not hire any staff that has engaged in sexual abuse or harassment as stipulated in the standard. The language in the policy is written consistently with that in the standard. The Auditor reviewed the background packet and interview questions used by the KYDOC and GRCC and found that they are asking these questions during the interview process to determine if they are hiring anyone who has engaged in prohibited conduct. Human Resource staff indicated that the background investigator thoroughly vets any prospective employee and asks directly about previous misconduct as required by the standard. The document review on-site and interviews with the PREA Coordinator, Warden and Human Resources Manager confirmed that they have complied with this policy and no employee with such a history has been hired during the audit period.

The policy indicates that the GRCC will consider any instances of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or enlist the services of contractors who may have contact with inmates. A targeted interview with Human Resources stated that instances of sexual harassment would be a factor when making decisions about hiring and promotion, however there had been no incidents. Every employee and contractor undergoes a background check and is not offered employment if there is disqualifying information discovered.

There is a written policy that requires inquiry into a promotional candidate's history of sexual abuse or harassment. Documentation reviewed supports compliance with the standard in accordance with agency policy. During the on-site portion of the audit, the Auditor reviewed files of employees that were hired in the last 12 months. All of the employees' files contained background checks and pre-employment questionnaires where employees were asked the questions regarding past conduct and their answers were verified by a background investigation. The auditor also reviewed files of employees who were promoted in the last 12 months. The acknowledgement was completed for employees who had participated in the promotional process. Employees are asked this information 3 different times – on the pre-qualification paperwork, during the interview, and the acknowledgement form.

The PAQ indicates in the past 12 months, 61 employees who may have contact with inmates have been hired who have had criminal background record checks.

KYDOC policy requires inquiry into the background of potential contract employees regarding previous incidents of sexual assault or harassment. Consistent with agency policy, all employees and contractors must have a criminal background records check prior to employment. Staff at the GRCC complete criminal background checks for all prospective applicants and contractors, prior to being offered employment. Staff verified this information in interviews discussing the background process. In addition, the GRCC uses a checklist for the background process, which verifies all steps have been completed, including the criminal history check. Human Resources stated that if a prospective applicant previously worked at another correctional institutional, they make every effort to contact the facility for information on the employee's work history and any potential issues, including allegations of sexual assault or harassment, including resignation during a pending investigation. There is a standardized form that is used when prospective employees are transferring between KYDOC facilities, which is sent via email to the HR Manager of the previous facility.

In accordance with the standard, KYDOC policy requires background checks be conducted on facility staff and contract staff a minimum of every five years. GRCC does annual background checks through NCIC and semi-annual checks through Courtnet on the employee's birth month. Documentation of annual background checks was provided by the facility and reviewed by the auditor. Per memo, a complete background check of all staff, including contract staff was completed as of February 8, 2022.

Targeted interviews with facility administrators revealed that an employee engaging in any type of misconduct such as listed in the standard would not be retained.

The GRCC asks applicants and contractors directly about misconduct as described in the standard using a Self-Declaration form during the application process. These forms are maintained in their respective personnel files. The Auditor reviewed random files and verified these forms are being completed. Interviews with staff indicated that the forms are being completed as required by the standard and agency policy. KYDOC policy stipulates a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any PREA related misconduct. All current and new staff are trained on the PREA policy, as well as annual refresher training. Training records verifying that employees acknowledge that they have read and understand the policy were reviewed by the auditor.

In accordance with the standard, policy stipulates that material omissions regarding such conduct, or the provision of materially false information shall be grounds for termination. Interviews with staff verified that the GRCC would and has terminated employees for engaging in inappropriate behavior with inmates, upon learning of such misconduct.

KYDOC policy indicates that the facility shall provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer and a signed release of information. Staff indicated they would share information upon request from another facility regarding a former employee.

The GRCC uses a disclosure/acknowledgement form that asks the required questions of applicants to determine prior prohibited conduct. The hiring process includes requiring the investigator to make his/her best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility exceeds the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## Standard 115.18: Upgrades to facilities and technologies

### All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.18 (a)

- If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)  
 Yes  No  NA

#### 115.18 (b)

- If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or

updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)

Yes  No  NA

### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

#### Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC 7.1
3. Schematic of facility
4. Interviews with staff
5. Observation of camera placement and footage
6. Memo
7. Staffing Plan Review 2022

#### Findings:

The facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since the last PREA audit.

According to the GRCC PAQ and targeted interviews with the staff, the GRCC has made upgrades to the video monitoring system since their last PREA audit by adding additional cameras in work areas, housing and recreation. A targeted interview with the Warden indicates that they have plans to add more cameras in the future. The addition of more cameras is included in the 2021-2022 Annual Planning Document. Upgrades to the camera system include the addition and replacement of more than 200 cameras. The new cameras are all for improved video monitoring of areas of the facility and assist with protecting inmates from sexual abuse.

Per interview with the Warden and review of the staffing plan, when installing or updating a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, GRCC considers how such technology may enhance GRCC's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## RESPONSIVE PLANNING

### Standard 115.21: Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.21 (a)

- If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)  
 Yes  No  NA

#### 115.21 (b)

- Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)  Yes  No  NA
- Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)  Yes  No  NA

#### 115.21 (c)

- Does the agency offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate?  Yes  No
- Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible?  Yes  No
- If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)?  Yes  No
- Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs?  Yes  No

#### 115.21 (d)

- Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center?  Yes  No

- If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? (N/A if the agency *always* makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.)  Yes  No  NA
- Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers?  Yes  No

#### 115.21 (e)

- As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews?  Yes  No
- As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals?  Yes  No

#### 115.21 (f)

- If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating agency follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.)  Yes  No  NA

#### 115.21 (g)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

#### 115.21 (h)

- If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (N/A if agency *always* makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.)  Yes  No  NA

#### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

#### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does*

*not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

#### Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 14.7
3. GRCC Evidence Protocol and Guide
4. MOU with KASAP
5. Memo
6. Review of incident logs

#### Interviews with the following:

- PCM
- Investigator
- Warden
- Medical personnel

#### Findings:

Agency policy is written in accordance with the standard. The GRCC is responsible for only administrative investigations. The facility follows a uniform protocol for investigating allegations of sexual abuse that maximizes the possibility of collecting usable evidence and trains facility staff who may be first responders in this protocol. The evidence protocol is the Kentucky State Police (KSP) Evidence Guide, specified in policy and described and confirmed by the facility Investigator who is experienced and able to articulate investigative procedures for a sexual assault in a jail setting. Interviews with all facility staff indicate that they are trained and familiar with the evidence protocol and what to do if they are the first responder to a sexual assault.

The KSP would be contacted to investigate incidents that occur that are criminal in nature, including those related to PREA violations. The GRCC will conduct sexual abuse investigations in accordance with PREA standards and follow the nationally accepted protocols for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Exams published by the USDOJ. According to interviews with random staff, there are multiple investigators trained to conduct sexual assault investigations. In addition, the PREA Compliance Manager would be notified. The facility provided a copy of the evidence guide for review.

The GRCC does not hold youthful offenders.

KYDOC policy stipulates that all victims of sexual abuse shall be offered a forensic medical exam, without financial cost including prophylactic testing/treatment for suspected STIs. These exams would be performed off-site at the Baptist Health Hospital in Madisonville. The HSA stated that a nurse was also on-call at Owensboro Hospital. Examinations will be conducted by qualified SANE/SAFE experts in accordance with the guidelines of the National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations from the Department of Justice. Persons performing these exams will be Registered Nurses licensed by their respective State Board of Nursing and possess training and/or certification in the Sexual Assault Nurse Examination or a Physician with training specific to the sexual assault medical forensic examination. The availability of these services was confirmed by the Auditor with the HSA. She indicated they had a SANE/SAFE nurse available 24 hours per day and 7 days per week and there would be no charge to the victim for this exam.

The GRCC reported on the PAQ there had been no have been no allegations or incidents of sexual abuse requiring forensic exams conducted. This was confirmed onsite by staff interviews and reviewing the investigative logs.

KYDOC policy indicates they will make a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to an inmate victim of sexual assault upon request. The GRCC, through KYDOC has an MOU with KASAP to provide services to the facility. A local rape crisis center, Sanctuary, Inc., is available to serve as a victim advocate to victims of sexual assault at the GRCC. The KYDOC has an MOU with the agency, which was provided to the Auditor for review. As stipulated in the MOU, KASAP is available to provide an advocate to accompany and support the victim through the forensic exam process, if requested and shall provide any needed or requested emotional support or crisis intervention services. KYDOC policy stipulates these services are available. The auditor verified the availability of these services. Staff at Sanctuary stated that all the advocates are PREA trained.

Targeted interviews with the PREA Coordinator and PCM also confirmed that the MOU was in place. There have been no instances of alleged sexual abuse that have required services in the past 12 months.

The KYDOC has standardized this process across the state. They work with the Kentucky State Police and refer all suspected criminal PREA allegations to them, receiving guidance from them to ensure all allegations are handled appropriately. In addition, the KYDOC has a statewide contract and MOU with KASAP to ensure that advocacy services are available to all inmate victims of sexual assault.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## Standard 115.22: Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations

### All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.22 (a)

- Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse?  Yes  No
- Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment?  Yes  No

#### 115.22 (b)

- Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior?  Yes  No
- Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means?  Yes  No
- Does the agency document all such referrals?  Yes  No

#### 115.22 (c)

- If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does the policy describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.21(a).)  Yes  No  NA

#### 115.22 (d)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

#### 115.22 (e)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

#### Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 14.7
3. Monthly PREA Report
4. Review all investigative files for allegations of sexual abuse or harassment for the past 12 months
5. Website

#### Interviews with the following:

- PREA Coordinator
- PCM
- Investigative Staff
- Random Inmates

#### Findings:

The KYDOC policy is written in accordance with the standard and requires that an investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and harassment. Policy also dictates that allegations are referred for a criminal investigation, if warranted. The PREA Compliance Manager, supervisors and Investigators work very closely together to ensure that all allegations of sexual abuse and harassment are investigated promptly and thoroughly. If an offender alleges a sexual assault or sexual harassment has taken place, the staff member will notify the supervisor, who will take the initial report and refer it to



one of the investigators for further action. The Investigator coordinates with the PCM and supervisors to determine the course of action. The Kentucky State Police conduct all criminal investigations for the GRCC and the KYDOC and will be notified by the Investigator if there is suspected potential criminal charges. The KYDOC policy is posted on the website under the PREA section, verified by the auditor.

Targeted interviews with the Investigator, PREA Compliance Manager and Warden verified that all allegations of sexual abuse or harassment are investigated. They described the process for investigations, which is a collaborative approach. Random staff who were interviewed stated that immediately upon learning of an allegation they would notify the on-duty supervisor and keep the inmate safe. According to the interviews, once an allegation is received, it is referred for investigation based upon the type of allegation. In the case of a sexual abuse allegation, the first responders and supervisory personnel would initially take action to separate the alleged victim and perpetrator and takes steps to preserve any evidence. The on-duty supervisor would brief the PCM and depending on the situation, and initiate a call to the KSP to begin a criminal investigation, if warranted. Essentially, all reports of sexual abuse or harassment are evaluated by the first responders and supervisors in coordination with the PCM and a determination is made whether to initiate a criminal investigation. If there is no exigency and no evidence that a crime has occurred, the facility initiates an administrative investigation. The incident is investigated and if during the investigation, it is determined that there is evidence to support a crime was committed, the investigator will consult with the GRCC as necessary. If there is no evidence that a crime was committed, then the investigation is completed as an administrative investigation by the facility investigator.

Interviews with staff indicate they are aware of their responsibility to investigate every allegation, refer the allegation if it involves criminal behavior and notify the PREA Compliance Manager of all allegations.

The GRCC reports there have been 8 allegations of sexual abuse or harassment in the past 12 months. A review of the investigative files indicate that the allegations were promptly and thoroughly investigated. There were two allegations that warranted referral for criminal investigation to the Kentucky State Police. Both resulted in a referral for prosecution.

KYDOC policy requires that all sexual assault allegations that involve evidence of criminal behavior be referred for criminal prosecution.

The auditor reviewed the KYDOC website and the agency policy is posted and publicly available. During an interview with the investigator, he verified that investigations that revealed criminal behavior would be referred to the KSP and subsequently to the Commonwealth Attorney for prosecution. The PCM and PREA Coordinator confirmed this information.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## TRAINING AND EDUCATION

### Standard 115.31: Employee training

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.31 (a)

- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment?  Yes  No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures?  Yes  No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment?  Yes  No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?  Yes  No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement?  Yes  No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims?  Yes  No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse?  Yes  No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates?  Yes  No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming inmates?  Yes  No
- Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities?  Yes  No

#### 115.31 (b)

- Is such training tailored to the gender of the inmates at the employee's facility?  Yes  No
- Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male inmates to a facility that houses only female inmates, or vice versa?  Yes  No

#### 115.31 (c)

- Have all current employees who may have contact with inmates received such training?  Yes  No
- Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures?  Yes  No

- In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies?  Yes  No

#### 115.31 (d)

- Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received?  Yes  No

#### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

#### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

#### Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 14.7
3. 2021 Annual Training
4. New Hire PREA Training
5. PREA Lesson Plan
6. Review of Training Files
7. Interviews with Random Staff, PREA Coordinator, PCM, and Training Coordinator

#### Findings:

The KYDOC policy is written in accordance with the standard and includes all required topics and elements of the standard. Policy requires that all employees, contractors, and volunteers who have contact with inmates receive training. According to the policy, mental health and medical personnel receive specialized training. The training is tailored to male inmates, as the facility does not hold female inmates. The facility provides PREA training annually to each employee to ensure they remain up to date on the KYDOC policies and procedures regarding sexual abuse and harassment. Each employee completes this training electronically with a unique login and completion is verified electronically. In addition, each employee signs a verification acknowledging they have received and understand the information.

The Auditor reviewed the training curriculum and verified it included all information and each element required by the standard. The Auditor reviewed the training rosters provided by facility training staff to verify and ensure all employees are receiving the training. During the pre-audit period the Auditor reviewed the training documentation submitted by the facility. In addition, during the on-site portion of

the audit, the auditor verified the training of staff by reviewing the entire training logs for all employees who had received training for the previous and current year. Based upon the printout provided by the training coordinator, all active employees at GRCC have completed the annual PREA in-service for 2021.

New staff are given PREA training during their orientation before assuming their duties and sign a verification acknowledging they have received the information. During interviews with the PCM and Training staff, they confirmed that no employee is permitted to have contact with inmates prior to receiving PREA training during orientation.

The Auditor conducted formal and informal interviews with random and specialized staff. All staff interviewed indicated that they had received training and were able to articulate information from the training. During the staff interviews, all the random employees recalled having annual PREA training. Staff appear to understand their responsibilities regarding the standards. The staff are appropriately trained, and all documentation is maintained accordingly.

PREA training is conducted on an annual basis during in-service, versus every two years as required by the standard.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## Standard 115.32: Volunteer and contractor training

### All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.32 (a)

- Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures?  Yes  No

#### 115.32 (b)

- Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with inmates)?  Yes  No

#### 115.32 (c)

- Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received?  Yes  No

### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

**Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)

**Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)

**Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

### **Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

#### Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 14.7
3. Annual Training
4. New Contractor PREA Training
5. Review of Training Files
6. Volunteer orientation

#### Interviews with the following:

- PCM
- Contract Staff
- Training Coordinator

#### Findings:

The KYDOC policy is written in accordance with the standard and includes all required topics and elements of the standard. The policy requires that all staff receive training regarding PREA. This training is required to be completed in person prior to contact with any inmates. The training is tailored to male inmates at GRCC, as the facility does not hold females. The facility provides PREA training annually to each contract employee to ensure they remain up to date on the KYDOC policies and procedures regarding sexual abuse and harassment.

The Auditor reviewed the training curriculum and verified it included all information required by the standard. The Auditor reviewed the training rosters, as well as random training files to verify and ensure all contracted employees are receiving the training. New contractors and volunteers are given PREA training during their orientation before assuming their duties and sign a verification acknowledging they have received the information. During the document review, the auditor was able to verify that the contractors who had been trained were required to sign an acknowledgement that they had received and understood the PREA training. The auditor reviewed the files of newly hired contract employees and verified that the signed training acknowledgement form is retained in their files. In addition, during targeted interviews with staff, they verified that training acknowledgements were retained in the files.

The Auditor conducted formal and informal interviews with contracted staff. During targeted interviews with contract staff members, each of the interviewees told the auditor that they recalled having the PREA training and knew of the GRCC's zero-tolerance policy against sexual abuse and harassment. In addition, they could articulate what to do if an inmate reported to them. When asked what would be the consequence if they violated the PREA policy, they stated they understood the consequences of

such actions and would be terminated and removed from the facility. The contract staff were knowledgeable regarding the PREA information they had received. Staff appear to understand their responsibilities regarding the standards. The GRCC is providing training in accordance with the standard. The documentation is maintained accordingly.

Due to Covid related restrictions, no programs provided by volunteers were being operated at the time of the onsite audit. The auditor was not able to interview any volunteers. Volunteers have not been in the facility since March 2021.

Volunteers and contractors all receive PREA training on an annual basis. The contract staff receive the same training as the facility staff.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## Standard 115.33: Inmate education

### All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.33 (a)

- During intake, do inmates receive information explaining the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment?  Yes  No
- During intake, do inmates receive information explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?  Yes  No

#### 115.33 (b)

- Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment?  Yes  No
- Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents?  Yes  No
- Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents?  Yes  No

#### 115.33 (c)

- Have all inmates received the comprehensive education referenced in 115.33(b)?  Yes  No
- Do inmates receive education upon transfer to a different facility to the extent that the policies and procedures of the inmate's new facility differ from those of the previous facility?  Yes  No

### 115.33 (d)

- Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are limited English proficient?  Yes  No
- Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are deaf?  Yes  No
- Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are visually impaired?  Yes  No
- Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are otherwise disabled?  Yes  No
- Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who have limited reading skills?  Yes  No

### 115.33 (e)

- Does the agency maintain documentation of inmate participation in these education sessions?  Yes  No

### 115.33 (f)

- In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats?  Yes  No

### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

### Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 14.7
3. Review of inmate training materials

4. Review of inmate training documentation
5. Inmate Handbook
6. Sampling of inmate files comparing intake date, the date of initial screenings, and the date of comprehensive screening
7. Inmate Brochure and acknowledgement
8. Logs of Completion of inmates provided Comprehensive Education

Interviews with the following:

- PCM
- Random Inmates
- Intake Staff

Observations of the Following:

- PREA informational Posters throughout the facility in inmate housing and common areas
- Inmate Intake Process

Findings:

The KYDOC policy is written in accordance with the standard. In accordance with policy, offenders receive information regarding the facility and agency's zero tolerance policy. This information, along with the inmate handbook and informal posters provides offenders with information regarding sexual abuse and assault, the agency's zero tolerance policy and how to report incidents of sexual abuse or harassment.

The GRCC PAQ reported that during the last year 251 offenders (100%) committed to the facility were given PREA information at the time of intake in accordance with the standard. Interviews with Intake staff indicated that this information is communicated to the offenders verbally and in writing upon arrival at the facility. Offenders will receive a PREA brochure immediately upon intake and sign an acknowledgement of receipt that is maintained electronically in their file in KOMS. The brochure contains information about the zero-tolerance policy and reporting information.

The auditor observed PREA signage in a number of different locations and notification of the agency's zero tolerance policy. Staff told the auditor that they verbally explained the agency's zero tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and harassment, and they explained to the newly committed inmates that they could report instances of abuse or harassment to any staff member, as well as use the inmate telephone system to report abuse to the listed hotline. The PREA brochure information is read to the inmates upon arrival at the facility.

Interviews with intake staff verified that inmates, including any transferred from another facility, are given the same PREA orientation. Further questioning revealed that inmates who were LEP would be provided the orientation using a language telephone interpreter service or a Spanish speaking staff would be utilized, if available. For offenders that are visually impaired, a staff member would read the information to the offender. The video also has printed subtitles for the hearing impaired. Staff would assist any other disabled or impaired inmates that needed assistance, such as intellectually limited inmates. Information in multiple formats was available throughout the facility. Targeted interviews with staff indicated that the facility will make needed accommodations for identified inmates with disabilities. The Auditor observed PREA informational posters in all offender housing areas, intake, and public areas. Inmate interviews indicated that inmates identified as having disabilities are aware of the PREA program.

Inmate interviews revealed that most inmates remembered receiving information about the agency's zero tolerance policy and how to make a report of sexual abuse. The few who responded that they did not remember receiving the initial orientation did state that they are aware of PREA.



Inmates receive comprehensive orientation within 30 days of arrival, including their rights to be free from both sexual abuse and sexual harassment and retaliation for reporting such incidents and on agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents. It was discovered during the agency internal mock audit that the PREA Educational Video was not being shown as part of the comprehensive education. This was immediately corrected by facility staff by having all current inmates view the video with the opportunity to ask questions. The facility has incorporated the video into the facility comprehensive education. This education is completed during the inmate's comprehensive facility orientation. This is documented on the inmate orientation, as well as the comprehensive PREA Education Acknowledgement Form, both of which are kept in the inmate record to verify receipt of the training. Offender interviews indicated that they had received the training. The auditor was unable to observe a comprehensive education session at the time of the site review. However, this process was explained to the auditor by multiple staff.

The auditor reviewed a sampling of 28 random inmate files. The files contained documentation of the initial inmate PREA orientation and receipt of the brochure at the time of admission, as well as the comprehensive education. Interviews with staff and offenders both formally and informally verified that offenders are receiving training as required by the standard.

All current offenders have received PREA training. Offender interviews indicate that the majority remember receiving information upon arrival and have an awareness of PREA information and how to report.

As required by the standard, policy provides for education in formats accessible to all inmates. There are Spanish versions of all materials. For offenders that are visually impaired, a staff member would read the information to the offender. The information is also available in Braille, in both English and Spanish. In addition, the Purple machine is available for signing for the hard of hearing inmates. As indicated in the policy, all other special needs would be handled in coordination with the PCM or Unit Manager on a case-by-case basis. There have been no instances of the need to accommodate special needs inmates during this audit period.

Information in multiple formats was available throughout the facility. The Auditor observed PREA informational posters in all offender housing areas, intake, and medical. The inmate handbook is available and provided to all offenders.

Inmates receive a PREA Brochure and advocate information immediately upon arrival. The PREA brochure and education is available in large print, braille, and Spanish with the capability of translating to other languages as needed.

After a review, the Auditor determined that the facility meets the minimum requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## **Standard 115.34: Specialized training: Investigations**

### **All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**

#### **115.34 (a)**

- In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.31, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators receive training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if

the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a.)  Yes  No  NA

#### 115.34 (b)

- Does this specialized training include techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a.)  Yes  No  NA
- Does this specialized training include proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a.)  Yes  No  NA
- Does this specialized training include sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a.)  Yes  No  NA
- Does this specialized training include the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a.)  Yes  No  NA

#### 115.34 (c)

- Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a.)  Yes  No  NA

#### 115.34 (d)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

#### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

#### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

#### Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 14.7
3. Review of Training Materials
4. Review of Training Documentation
5. Review Training Curriculum for Specialized Training
6. Review of Training Certificates for Investigators
7. Interviews with PCM & Investigative Staff

#### Findings:

Agency policy is written in accordance with the standard. GRCC investigators conduct administrative investigations. The Auditor verified the training for the facility investigators. The training included all mandated aspects of the standard, including Miranda and Garrity, evidence collection in a correctional setting, as well as the required evidentiary standards for administrative findings. Per a targeted interview with the PREA Coordinator, this training is standardized for the Department and was developed in consultation with the Moss Group. During a targeted interview with one of designated investigators for the facility, he was able to articulate the aspects of the training received. He appeared knowledgeable in the training he had received, as well as conducting sexual assault investigations. He indicated that, if in the course of the investigation, it appeared that the conduct was criminal in nature and there could be criminal charges involved, they would call the State Police and consult with the Commonwealth Attorney regarding any potential charges.

The Auditor was provided and reviewed a master list of trained investigators for the KYDOC. There are 19 investigators listed as being assigned to GRCC.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## Standard 115.35: Specialized training: Medical and mental health care

### All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.35 (a)

- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)  Yes  No  NA
- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)  Yes  No  NA
- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not

have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)  Yes  No  NA

- Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)  
 Yes  No  NA

#### 115.35 (b)

- If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency medical staff at the facility do not conduct forensic exams or the agency does not employ medical staff.)  
 Yes  No  NA

#### 115.35 (c)

- Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)  Yes  No  NA

#### 115.35 (d)

- Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.31? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners employed by the agency.)  
 Yes  No  NA
- Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.32? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency.)  Yes  No  NA

#### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

#### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does*

*not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 14.7
3. Review of Training Materials
4. Review of Training Documentation
5. Interviews with Training Coordinator and Medical Staff

Agency policy requires that all staff members receive PREA training in accordance with standard 115.31. Further, the policy requires that all part- and full-time mental health and medical staff members receive additional specialized training. The policy requires that the mental health and medical staff receive additional specialized training on how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and harassment, how to preserve physical evidence, how to respond effectively to victims of sexual abuse and harassment and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse or harassment. The GRCC employs contract medical and mental health providers.

All of the medical and mental health staff received the specialized training as evidenced by documentation reviewed by the auditor. This is completed annually. During the on-site portion of the audit, the auditor reviewed the training logs provided by the training staff and verified that all of the current employees had received the required training. During targeted interviews with the HSA and other medical and mental health staff, they stated they received PREA training upon orientation. In addition to KYDOC training, all medical and mental health staff complete additional training related to healthcare and PREA, which is done annually through Wellpath, the contract medical provider.

A targeted interview with the training coordinator verified that every employee is required to participate in PREA training in accordance with 115.31 and that training is documented. In addition, medical and mental health staff receive specialized training annually through the state that covers all aspects of the standard. The auditor verified this training had been completed.

The staff of the GRCC does not perform forensic medical examinations for victims of sexual assault. Forensic medical exams are conducted at the local hospital.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## **SCREENING FOR RISK OF SEXUAL VICTIMIZATION AND ABUSIVENESS**

### **Standard 115.41: Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness**

**All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**

#### **115.41 (a)**

- Are all inmates assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates?  Yes  No

- Are all inmates assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates?  Yes  No

#### 115.41 (b)

- Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility?  
 Yes  No

#### 115.41 (c)

- Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument?  
 Yes  No

#### 115.41 (d)

- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (1) Whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability?  Yes  No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (2) The age of the inmate?  Yes  No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (3) The physical build of the inmate?  Yes  No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (4) Whether the inmate has previously been incarcerated?  
 Yes  No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (5) Whether the inmate's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent?  
 Yes  No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (6) Whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child?  Yes  No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (7) Whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the inmate about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener's perception whether the inmate is gender non-conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)?  Yes  No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (8) Whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization?  Yes  No

- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (9) The inmate's own perception of vulnerability?  Yes  No
- Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (10) Whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purposes?  Yes  No

#### 115.41 (e)

- In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency, prior acts of sexual abuse?  Yes  No
- In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency, prior convictions for violent offenses?  Yes  No
- In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency, history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse?  Yes  No

#### 115.41 (f)

- Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the inmate's arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the inmate's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening?  Yes  No

#### 115.41 (g)

- Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a referral?  Yes  No
- Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a request?  Yes  No
- Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to an incident of sexual abuse?  Yes  No
- Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness?  Yes  No

#### 115.41 (h)

- Is it the case that inmates are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d)(8), or (d)(9) of this section?  Yes  No

#### 115.41 (i)

- Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates?  Yes  No

### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

#### Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 14.7
3. Review of Risk Assessments
4. 30 Day Reassessment Logs
5. Sampling of Random Inmate Files

#### Interviews with the following:

- PREA Coordinator
- Random Inmates
- PCM
- Case Managers

#### Observations of the Following:

- Inmate Intake Process

#### Findings:

According to KYDOC Policy, all inmates shall be assessed upon their admission to the facility and reassessed no later than 30 days after admission to the facility. The policy is written in accordance with the standard and includes all the required elements. During the site review, the auditor was not able to follow an inmate through the admission and classification process. But during the site review, the auditor spoke with multiple staff who explained the initial intake. Upon arrival at the facility, inmates are informed of their right to be free from sexual abuse and harassment as well as the agency's zero-tolerance for sexual abuse and harassment and how to report instances of sexual abuse or harassment. Interviews with the Unit Administrator and Case Managers verified that within 72 hours of admission, all inmates are screened for risk of sexual abuse victimization and the potential for predatory behavior. This is done by the assigned case manager. During interviews with random inmates, most all inmates that had been received within the last 12 months remember their initial



screening and remember being asked some PREA related questions during their admission. Most all inmates remembered at least something about the risk screening or some of the questions.

All inmates are assessed during an intake screening and upon transfer to another facility for risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates. Intake screenings take place within 72 hours of arrival at GRCC. The facility uses an objective screening instrument that is standardized for KYDOC. The intake screening considers, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (1) Whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability; (2) The age of the inmate; (3) The physical build of the inmate; (4) Whether the inmate has previously been incarcerated; (5) Whether the inmate's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent; (6) Whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child; (7) Whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming; (8) Whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization; and (9) The inmate's own perception of vulnerability. The KYDOC does not hold offenders solely for civil immigration purposes. The initial screening considers prior acts of sexual abuse, prior convictions for violent offenses, and history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse, as known to GRCC, in assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive. According to the PAQ and KYDOC Policy, the PREA screening instrument shall include 10 individual elements. Upon review of the screening instrument, the auditor determined that the screening instrument included all of the required elements.

An inmate's risk level is reassessed when warranted due to a referral, request, incident of sexual abuse, or receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness. Inmates are asked their sexual orientation in addition to the reviewing staff's perception. Within 30 days from the inmate's arrival at GRCC, the case manager reassesses all inmate's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by GRCC since the intake screening. This is done in conjunction with the comprehensive inmate orientation. Inmates are not disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked.

GRCC has implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within GRCC of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates. All files are controlled by supervisory personnel and maintained in each inmate's electronic Classification files.

The Auditor interviewed staff who complete the screenings. The staff indicated that the risk screening is completed within 72 hours and the PREA risk assessment completed at the previous facility is reviewed. The screenings are completed in KOMS, the electronic records system. There is limited access to the PREA risk assessment. This screening is used for housing and program decisions and referrals. The auditor reviewed this information and verified it is maintained electronically with limited access. The auditor was provided a copy of and reviewed the screening form.

Targeted interviews with staff, as well as the PREA Coordinator and PCM verified that risk assessments are normally performed within 72 hours of intake. The questions are asked and the answers are recorded by the staff on the risk assessment form in KOMS. There are areas on the form that allows for the inclusion of additional details related to the question, if additional data needs to be documented.

The auditor reviewed random inmate files and reviewed their intake records and risk screenings in order to compare the admission date and the date of admission screening. The randomly selected files had received risk screenings within 72 hours of intake, and reassessments completed within 30 days.

The PCM and PREA Coordinator confirmed that 30-day reassessments are being completed on inmates, including meeting with the inmates. The KOMS system generates an alert to the PCM and

case manager when the 30-day review is due. This allows the PCM to follow up if the review is not completed in a timely manner. The auditor reviewed inmate files of initial PREA risk assessments. The auditor also reviewed random inmate files to determine if 30-day re-assessments had been completed.

Interviews with staff also indicated that an inmate's risk level is reassessed based upon a request, referral or incident of sexual assault. During any PREA investigation, the inmates' risk level is reassessed.

KYDOC policy stipulates that no inmate shall be disciplined for refusing to answer or disclose information in response the risk assessment questions. According to targeted interviews with the staff, there have been no instances of inmates being disciplined for refusing to answer screening questions.

The Auditor randomly reviewed inmate files and determined that the initial risk assessments are being completed within 72 hours as required and the 30-day reassessments are being completed on a consistent basis.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective action: None

## Standard 115.42: Use of screening information

### All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.42 (a)

- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments?  Yes  No
- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments?  Yes  No
- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments?  Yes  No
- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments?  Yes  No
- Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments?  Yes  No

#### 115.42 (b)

- Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate?  Yes  No

#### 115.42 (c)

- When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex inmate to a facility for male or female inmates, does the **agency** consider, on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns inmates to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)?  Yes  No
- When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex inmates, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems?  Yes  No

#### 115.42 (d)

- Are placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex inmate reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the inmate?  Yes  No

#### 115.42 (e)

- Are each transgender or intersex inmate's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments?  Yes  No

#### 115.42 (f)

- Are transgender and intersex inmates given the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates?  Yes  No

#### 115.42 (g)

- Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: lesbian, gay, and bisexual inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)  Yes  No  NA
- Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: transgender inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)  Yes  No  NA

- Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing intersex inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)  Yes  
 No    NA

### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor’s analysis and reasoning, and the auditor’s conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

#### Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 14.7, 14.8
3. Review of Screenings

#### Interviews with the following:

- PREA Coordinator
- PCM
- Supervisors Responsible for Conducting Unannounced Rounds

#### Observation of the following:

- Site review of inmate housing units

#### Findings:

The KYDOC policy requires that screening information from the PREA risk assessment is used in making housing, bed work, education, and programming assignments. A case manager completes a risk assessment screening upon the inmate’s arrival to the facility. Staff use this information to make recommendations on housing, bed, work, program assignments and referrals with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive.

When an inmate is determined to be high risk for victimization or high risk for abusiveness, it is the responsibility of the staff member conducting the screening to enter the results into the PREA Risk Assessment and inform the PREA Compliance Manager and Correctional Unit Administrator.

An inmate that is determined to be at high risk for victimization will not be placed in the same cell or general area as an inmate that has been determined to be high risk for abusiveness.

It is the responsibility of the Classification Committee to check each inmate being placed in a job that has been determined as an area where there should not be victims and abusers working together unless under direct supervision, and sign the job application stating these areas were reviewed. All program and education areas are fully staffed at all times when in operation. Additionally, KOMS generates an automatic alert system that will alert staff when assigning beds if a high-risk victim and high-risk abuser are located in the same area. When working in the kitchen, high-risk victims and high-risk abusers are assigned to separate areas. All areas/rooms in the kitchen are monitored by camera. In addition, GRCC maintains a weekly list of high-risk abusers and high-risk victims that are assigned to the same work location. Work supervisors are notified of any potential conflicts. The auditor reviewed examples of completed "Controlled Work Assignment Forms" on which it's indicated that the PREA Risk Assessment was reviewed. These forms are also reviewed by the Deputy Warden.

KYDOC policy requires that the agency will consider housing for transgender or intersex inmates on a case-by-case basis in order to ensure the health and safety of the inmate and take into consideration any potential management or security problems. The policy requires that a transgender or intersex inmate's own view about their own safety shall be given serious consideration and that all transgender or intersex inmates are given the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates. During the site tour, the auditors reviewed all inmate housing units.

At the time of the onsite review, GRCC had 5 offenders identified as transgender or with a gender dysphoria diagnosis. During the targeted interviews, three transgender inmates were interviewed. The offenders indicated that they were able to shower separately by request during count, but stated that most of the time the shower situation was not an issue. GRCC allows for transgender inmates to shower separately by request, which occurs during count. Interviews with facility administration corroborate these practices are enforced.

The policy stipulates that LGBTI inmates will not be placed in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely on the basis of such identification or status, unless the placement is established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting such inmates. Staff are aware of their responsibilities should they receive a transgender inmate with regard to this standard. Interviews with facility staff indicate that placement of any transgender or intersex offenders is made on a case-by-case basis. Agency policy stipulates that placement and programming assignments for transgender inmates will be reassessed at least twice a year to review any threats to safety and a transgender inmate's views with respect to his or her safety will be given serious consideration. This process has been standardized across the department. An inmate that identifies as transgender is monitored at the facility level by the assigned case manager, unit administrator, the PCM and mental health staff. The auditor reviewed completed housing/program reviews and found that these reviews are in person and solicit input from the inmate. Interviews with the transgender offenders indicated that they recalled the housing/program reviews taking place. In addition, these offenders are monitored at the state level and discussed and reassessed at Therapeutic Level of Care (TLOC) meetings which include facility and state level staff.

LGBTI offenders are not placed in dedicated housing areas. Interviews with staff confirm this practice would not occur. The auditor conducted informal discussions with inmates during the site review and no inmate mentioned being housed according to their sexual preference or identity. The auditor conducted targeted interviews with staff. The auditor was informed that inmates' housing was based upon objective finding and LGBTI inmates were not placed in dedicated units. Targeted interviews with LGBTI inmates verified that the GRCC does not place inmates in dedicated housing units. A review of the roster indicated that identified LGBTI inmates are located in different housing areas throughout the facility.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility exceeds the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## Standard 115.43: Protective Custody

### All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.43 (a)

- Does the facility always refrain from placing inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made, and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers?  Yes  No
- If a facility cannot conduct such an assessment immediately, does the facility hold the inmate in involuntary segregated housing for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment?  Yes  No

#### 115.43 (b)

- Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Programs to the extent possible?  Yes  No
- Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Privileges to the extent possible?  Yes  No
- Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Education to the extent possible?  Yes  No
- Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Work opportunities to the extent possible?  Yes  No
- If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the opportunities that have been limited? (N/A if the facility *never* restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)  Yes  No  NA
- If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the duration of the limitation? (N/A if the facility *never* restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)  Yes  No  NA
- If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the reasons for such limitations? (N/A if the facility *never* restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)  Yes  No  NA

#### 115.43 (c)

- Does the facility assign inmates at high risk of sexual victimization to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged?  Yes  No

- Does such an assignment not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days?  Yes  No

#### 115.43 (d)

- If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document the basis for the facility's concern for the inmate's safety?  Yes  No
- If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document the reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged?  Yes  No

#### 115.43 (e)

- In the case of each inmate who is placed in involuntary segregation because he/she is at high risk of sexual victimization, does the facility afford a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population EVERY 30 DAYS?  Yes  No

#### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

#### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

#### Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 10.2
3. Memo from PCM

#### Interviews with the following:

- PCM
- Supervisors and Staff Responsible for Supervising Inmates in Restrictive Housing

#### Findings:

According to agency policy, they do not place inmates who are at high risk for sexual victimization in restrictive housing unless alternatives have been considered and are not available. Agency policies are written in accordance with the standard and cover all mandated stipulations. According to the PAQ,

there have not been any instances where inmates at risk for sexual victimization were placed in restrictive housing for the purpose of separating them from potential abusers. According to targeted interviews with staff who supervise inmates in restrictive housing, they are not aware of a case where an inmate was placed in restrictive housing as a result of being a high risk for sexual victimization. All staff interviewed, both formally and informally, indicate an inmate identified as high risk would be moved to another housing location and not placed in segregation unless the inmate requested it. A targeted interview with the PCM also verified that no inmates during the audit period have been placed in restrictive housing involuntarily in order to separate them from potential abusers. Staff indicated that there was sufficient space and numbers of housing units to find a suitable place for an otherwise orderly prisoner.

The agency policy states that if inmates were placed in restrictive housing for involuntary protective purposes, they would be permitted programs and privileges, work and educational programs and any restrictions would be limited. Further, the policy stipulates that such an involuntary housing assignment would not normally exceed 30 day and such a placement would be documented and include the justification for such placement and why no alternative can be arranged. According to the policy, if an inmate is confined involuntarily under these circumstances, the facility shall review the continuing need for placement.

Staff are aware of their responsibilities with regard to this standard, including the need for a review every 30 day. There have been no instances that required action with regard to this standard.

During the on-site portion of the audit, the auditor reviewed all of the restrictive housing areas and had informal discussions with both inmates and staff. As verified by targeted interviews with staff, the auditor did not identify any inmates who were involuntarily housed in restrictive solely for protective purposes for being a high-risk victim or having made an allegation.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## REPORTING

### Standard 115.51: Inmate reporting

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.51 (a)

- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment?  Yes  No
- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?  Yes  No
- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents?  Yes  No

#### 115.51 (b)



- Does the agency also provide at least one way for inmates to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency?  Yes  No
- Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward inmate reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials?  Yes  No
- Does that private entity or office allow the inmate to remain anonymous upon request?  Yes  No
- Are inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes provided information on how to contact relevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland Security? (N/A if the facility *never* houses inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes)  Yes  No  NA

#### 115.51 (c)

- Does staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties?  Yes  No
- Does staff promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?  Yes  No

#### 115.51 (d)

- Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates?  Yes  No

#### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

#### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 14.7
3. Agency Memo
4. Inmate Handbook
5. Inmate Orientation

6. Site Review
7. KASAP MOU
8. KYDOC Website
9. Hotline Information

Interviews with the following:

- PREA Coordinator
- PCM
- Warden
- Random Staff
- Random Inmates

Observation of the following:

- Observation of informal interactions between staff and inmates
- Observation of inmates using the telephone system
- Observation of Information Posters inside the housing units, adjacent to telephone and in the booking area

Findings:

The KYDOC policy designates multiple mechanisms for the internal reporting of sexual abuse and harassment, retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting, as well as mechanisms for reporting conditions that may have contributed to the alleged abuse. Policy is written in accordance with the standard. The auditor reviewed the inmate handbook and found that inmates are informed that they may report instances of abuse or harassment by reporting to staff members, both verbally and in writing, as well as by using the inmate telephone system to make a report to the PREA hotline. There are multiple internal ways for offenders to privately report PREA related incidents, including verbally to any staff member, a written note submitted to staff, anonymous reports, and third-party reports. This information is received by offenders at intake in both written and verbal form, contained in the inmate handbook and on informational posters in all offender housing areas, intake and various other locations throughout the facility.

During random staff interviews, staff stated that inmates could make a PREA report to any staff member, as well as call the hotline. During the site review, the auditor observed information adjacent to the inmate telephones. Random offender interviews revealed that they feel that the staff at GRCC would take any report seriously and act immediately, regardless of the source of the information.

The KYDOC does not hold inmates solely for civil immigration purposes.

Staff interviews revealed that they are aware of their responsibilities with regard to reporting and would accept and act on any information received immediately. Information on how to report on behalf of an inmate is listed on the agency website. Staff indicated they would accept and act on third-party reports, including from another inmate. Verbal reports are required to be documented within 24 hours.

KYDOC policy provides a requirement that inmates have the option of reporting incidents of sexual abuse to a public or private entity that is not part of the agency. Offenders have the ability to report outside the GRCC, by phone, to DOC central office or an outside agency. This information is in the inmate handbook, posted by the phones and on the brochure the inmates receive at intake. During the site review, the auditor observed PREA informational posters and placards adjacent to the inmate telephones with the Hotline information where reports can be taken and referred immediately for investigation. Offenders mentioned this as a potential reporting method, indicating the offenders are aware of this information. Contact information, including address and phone number is also available for Sanctuary, Inc., the local rape crisis hotline.

The Auditor verified the availability of the hotline by making a test call to the internal hotline. The report was immediately received by the PREA Coordinator's Office and logged. The auditor received documentation of this report. The Auditor verified the availability of the local rape crisis hotline and their ability to take reports. The Sanctuary staff stated all the advocates are PREA trained.

Policy and the inmate handbook stipulate that 3rd party reports of sexual abuse or harassment will be accepted verbally or in writing. Random inmate and staff interviews revealed that the staff and inmates are aware that third party reports will be accepted and treated just like any other reports.

Targeted interviews with the PREA Coordinator and PCM verified that there are multiple ways to make PREA complaints by both staff and inmates, including the use of the inmate phone system, anonymous letters, as well as third party reporting by family and friends. The auditor reviewed investigative files for 8 allegations of sexual misconduct within the last year. Most of the allegations were reported directly to facility staff.

Policy requires that all staff accept reports of sexual abuse or harassment both verbally and in writing and that those reports shall be documented in writing by staff and responded to immediately. During targeted interviews with staff, they told the auditor that if an inmate reported an allegation of sexual abuse or harassment, they would notify their supervisor of such an allegation and immediately intervene by separating the victim and alleged perpetrator. Each staff member stated that they would take action without delay and would accept a verbal complaint and would be required to make a written report of the incident. During random inmate interviews, the inmates were asked if they knew that they could make a verbal report of an incident of sexual harassment. All the inmates stated that they were aware they could go to any staff member.

Staff may privately report sexual abuse or harassment of inmates either verbally or in writing to their supervisors, or Warden directly. The hotline is also available to staff. Staff members are informed of this provision during PREA training. Staff interviews revealed that they are aware they can go directly to facility administration, including the PCM to report sexual abuse and harassment of inmates and all staff that were randomly interviewed answered that they would report any such incident to their supervisor.

After a review, the Auditor determined that the facility meets the requirements of the standard

Corrective Action: None

## **Standard 115.52: Exhaustion of administrative remedies**

### **All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**

#### **115.52 (a)**

- Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because an inmate does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse.  Yes  No

#### **115.52 (b)**

- Does the agency permit inmates to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)  Yes  No  NA
- Does the agency always refrain from requiring an inmate to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)  Yes  No  NA

#### 115.52 (c)

- Does the agency ensure that: An inmate who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)  Yes  No  NA
- Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)  Yes  No  NA

#### 115.52 (d)

- Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by inmates in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)  Yes  No  NA
- If the agency claims the maximum allowable extension of time to respond of up to 70 days per 115.52(d)(3) when the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision, does the agency notify the inmate in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)  Yes  No  NA
- At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the inmate does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may an inmate consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)  Yes  No  NA

#### 115.52 (e)

- Are third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist inmates in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)  Yes  No  NA
- Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of inmates? (If a third-party files such a request on behalf of an inmate, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)  Yes  No  NA

- If the inmate declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the inmate's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)  
 Yes  No  NA

#### 115.52 (f)

- Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)  Yes  No  NA
- After receiving an emergency grievance alleging an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)  
 Yes  No  NA
- After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)  Yes  No  NA
- After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)  
 Yes  No  NA
- Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the inmate is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)  Yes  No  NA
- Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)  Yes  No  NA
- Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)  Yes  No  NA

#### 115.52 (g)

- If the agency disciplines an inmate for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)  Yes  No  NA

#### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

#### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. Memo
3. Staff Interview

Findings:

The Kentucky Department of Corrections does not have an administrative procedure to address inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse therefore is exempt from this standard.

This is verified by the PAQ, memo from the PREA Coordinator and targeted interview with same.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## Standard 115.53: Inmate access to outside confidential support services

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

### 115.53 (a)

- Does the facility provide inmates with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving inmates mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations?  Yes  No
- Does the facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, State, or national immigrant services agencies? (N/A if the facility *never* has persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes.)  Yes  No  NA
- Does the facility enable reasonable communication between inmates and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible?  Yes  No

### 115.53 (b)

- Does the facility inform inmates, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws?  Yes  No

### 115.53 (c)

- Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide inmates with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse?  Yes  No
- Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements?  Yes  No

### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

#### Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 14.7
3. Inmate Handbook and Website
4. Hotline Information
5. Sexual Assault brochure
6. MOU with KASAP

#### Interviews with the following:

- a. PCM
- b. Random Inmates
- c. Random and Targeted Staff
- d. Mental Health and Medical Staff

#### Observations of the Following:

- a. PREA informational Posters throughout the facility and public areas

#### Findings:

Policy is written in accordance with the standard. The facility provides inmates with access to local, state, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations, including toll-free hotline numbers. The policy requires reasonable communications between inmates and those organizations and agencies, in as confidential manner as possible. The GRCC informs inmates of the extent to which these will be monitored prior to giving them access. There have been no incidents reported that required confidential support services during this audit period. Staff interviews indicate they are aware of their

obligations under this standard. Staff report that this information is given to inmates during each investigation.

The auditor reviewed the GRCC handbook, which included information regarding the availability of outside confidential support services for victims of sexual abuse and harassment. During the site review, the auditor viewed posters in locations throughout the facility that notifies inmates of the availability of a third-party reporting hotline, in both Spanish and English. Policy requires that inmates and staff are allowed to report sexual abuse or harassment confidentially and requires that medical and mental health personnel inform inmates of their limits of confidentiality. Targeted interviews with medical and mental health reveal they are aware of their obligations to inform the inmates of the limits of confidentiality. The auditor reviewed documentation that verified this is being relayed to the inmates.

Inmates are informed of the services available at intake. GRCC provides all inmates information regarding victim advocacy services upon intake (same day) and during orientation. The information is provided in written form and provided to the inmate verbally. The information is listed in the brochure that is provided to the inmates, as well as the inmate handbook.

Inmates are also made aware of the 24/7 crisis line that is available to them as part of the victim advocate service. Inmate interviews indicated that most of the inmates are aware of the services that are available to them. Most inmates interviewed indicated they also knew they could ask to speak to mental health for counseling services if they needed to. If they were not specifically aware of services offered, inmates reported that they knew where to find the information.

The GRCC has an MOU with the Kentucky Association of Sexual Assault Programs (KASAP) to establish an agreement for emotional support services through the local rape crisis center, Sanctuary, Inc. The Auditor was provided a copy of the MOU and verified the agreement for services. The auditor verified the availability of services with Sanctuary staff.

There have been no inmates detained solely for civil or immigration purposes.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## Standard 115.54: Third-party reporting

### All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.54 (a)

- Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?  Yes  No
- Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an inmate?  Yes  No

### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

**Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)



- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

#### Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 14.7
3. Inmate Handbook
4. KYDOC Website
5. Staff Interviews
6. Inmate Interviews

#### Findings:

The policy is written in accordance with the standards, stipulating that all third-party reports will be accepted and investigated. The GRCC publicly provides a method for the receipt of third-party reports of sexual abuse or harassment through the KYDOC website. The Auditor reviewed the DOC website. The website has information on its PREA page that contains information about PREA and their responsibilities for criminal and administrative investigations. It also contains contact and reporting information should any one wish to report an incident of sexual abuse or harassment on behalf of an inmate.

Staff interviews reveal that they are aware of their obligation to accept and immediately act on any third-party reports received. Staff, including supervisors, indicate they will accept a third-party report from a family member, friend or another inmate. They would document the report and inform their supervisor and the report would be handled the same as any other allegation or report and investigated thoroughly.

Offenders are provided this information at intake and it's also included in the inmate handbook. PREA reporting information for family and friends is available on the KYDOC website. The auditor reviewed the website to verify the reporting method and found that the toll free number for the PREA Hotline is listed. Offender interviews indicate that they are aware that family or friends can call or write and report an incident of sexual abuse on their behalf.

The facility reported they have received two allegations of sexual abuse or harassment through third-party reports in this review period. No reports were made anonymously.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

# OFFICIAL RESPONSE FOLLOWING AN INMATE REPORT

## Standard 115.61: Staff and agency reporting duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

### 115.61 (a)

- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency?  Yes  No
- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against inmates or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?  Yes  No
- Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation?  Yes  No

### 115.61 (b)

- Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, does staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions?  Yes  No

### 115.61 (c)

- Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?  Yes  No
- Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform inmates of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services?  Yes  No

### 115.61 (d)

- If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws?  Yes  No

### 115.61 (e)

- Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators?  Yes  No

## Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

**Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)

- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

### **Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

#### Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 14.7, 3.22
3. Review of investigative files

#### Interviews with the following:

- Investigative staff
- Warden
- PCM
- Random Staff

#### Findings:

KYDOC policy is written in accordance with the standard and requires all staff, contractors and volunteers to immediately report any knowledge, suspicion or information related to sexual abuse or harassment to a supervisor. During the site review, all staff members interviewed were asked if they were required by policy to report any instances or suspicions of sexual abuse or harassment. All of the staff members responded that they were required to report any such instances, and reiterated the importance of acting immediately upon receiving such information.

The auditor also informally asked the same question of contracted staff, and they stated that they would report any instance of sexual abuse or harassment immediately to security staff. Interviews with staff indicate they are very clear with regard to their duties and responsibilities with regard to reporting PREA related information, including anonymous and third-party reports. During random staff interviews, all of the staff members stated that they were required by policy to report any instance of sexual abuse or harassment or retaliation for making reports. They were also asked if that included alleged behavior by staff or contractors or volunteers. All staff members who were randomly interviewed said that they were obligated to report any such allegations or suspicions, no matter who it involved. Staff articulated their understanding that they are required to report any information immediately and document such in a written report.

Policy requires confidentiality of all information of sexual abuse or harassment beyond what is required to be shared as a part of the reporting, treatment, or investigation. During the random staff interviews, staff were asked about their requirement for maintaining confidentiality. The staff understand the need to keep the information limited to those that need to know to preserve the integrity of the investigation. All of the interviewed staff stated that details related to either inmate allegations or staff allegations should remain confidential and they would only discuss details with supervisors and investigators. A

targeted interview with the PREA Coordinator and PCM verified that all investigative files are maintained in KOMS with limited access.

Policy requires that all medical and mental health personnel report the mandatory reporting requirements and limits of confidentiality to victims of sexual abuse. Interviews with medical and mental health staff indicate they are aware of their mandatory reporting requirements and comply with the mandate to disclose the limits of their confidentiality. Medical and mental health staff are aware of their responsibilities to report information, knowledge, or suspicions of sexual abuse, sexual harassment, retaliation, staff neglect or violations of responsibilities which may have contributed to an incident. The auditor viewed documentation that shows that medical and mental health staff discuss limits of confidentiality with the offenders.

Targeted interviews with the PCM, as well as random staff interviews verified that all allegations of sexual abuse or harassment received from a third party are referred for investigation and immediately acted upon. Random inmate interviews revealed that they feel as if the staff would investigate any allegation, regardless of the source.

All allegations of sexual abuse and harassment are reported to the on-duty supervisor, who initiates an investigation. The reporting officer and supervisor create a report, and this report is forwarded to the PCM for review and further action. In addition, the PCM is notified verbally through the chain of command.

The Auditor conducted a formal interview with one of the facility investigators, who indicated that all allegations are immediately reported and investigated. There were 8 allegations of sexual harassment or assault for the previous 12 months. The Auditor reviewed the investigative files for all 8 allegations and determined that they were promptly reported and investigated as required by the standard.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## Standard 115.62: Agency protection duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

### 115.62 (a)

- When the agency learns that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the inmate?  Yes  No

### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

Evidence Reviewed:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 14.7
3. Memo

Interviews with the following:

- PCM
- Warden
- Random Staff
- Random Inmates

Findings:

KYDOC policy is written in compliance with the standard and requires that whenever there is a report that there is an incident of sexual abuse or harassment, the victim should be immediately protected. Random interviews with staff indicate they are clear about their duty to act immediately if an offender is at risk of imminent sexual abuse. Staff indicated they would immediately remove the inmate from the situation, keep them separate and find an alternate place for them to stay or be housed pending an investigation or further action. Staff stated they would ensure the inmate was kept safe, away from the potential threat and an investigation was completed by the supervisor. Classification staff and the Unit Managers would also be notified. Targeted interviews with the Warden and the PCM confirmed that it is the policy of GRCC to respond without delay when inmates are potentially at risk for sexual abuse or any other types of serious risk. All staff interviewed by the auditor reiterated the importance of acting immediately upon receiving such information.

GRCC reports in the PAQ that there have been no determinations made that an offender was at substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. Per an interview with the PCM, GRCC did not have any inmates determined by the facility to be subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse requiring immediate action during this audit period. All inmates that report an allegation are immediately separated from the alleged abuser and kept in staff sight at all times until the alleged abuser is secured. If the report is made to staff other than an officer, security staff would be notified immediately.

The Auditor randomly reviewed files and talked with staff, both formally and informally, and found no evidence that an inmate was determined to be at imminent risk of sexual abuse. There have been no incidents that required action with regard to this standard.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## **Standard 115.63: Reporting to other confinement facilities**

**All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**

### 115.63 (a)

- Upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred?  Yes  No

### 115.63 (b)

- Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation?  Yes  No

### 115.63 (c)

- Does the agency document that it has provided such notification?  Yes  No

### 115.63 (d)

- Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards?  Yes  No

### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

#### Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 14.7

#### Interviews with the following:

- PCM
- Warden

#### Findings:

The agency's policy is written in accordance with the standard and requires that if the Warden or his/her designee receives an allegation regarding an incident of sexual abuse that occurred at another facility, he must make notification within 72 hours. During this review period, the facility reported receiving no notifications from an inmate alleging sexual abuse while incarcerated at another facility that needed to be reported. According to targeted interviews with the Warden and PCM, if they

received such a notice, they would immediately report such an allegation to the Warden or Administrator of the other facility and document such a notice. They confirmed their understanding of their affirmative requirement to report allegations in accordance with the standard.

GRCC requires that if the Warden or designee receives notice that a previously incarcerated inmate makes an allegation of sexual abuse that occurred at the GRCC, it would be investigated in accordance with the standards. The GRCC reported receiving 1 notification in the past 12 months from another facility that any of their former inmates alleged being sexually abused while incarcerated at the GRCC. Interviews with the PCM confirm the staff are aware of their obligation to fully investigate allegations received from other facilities. The auditor reviewed the investigative report and found that an investigation was initiated immediately.

Further, interviews with the staff, both formal and informal, revealed that staff is aware of their obligations with regard to reporting, and there is a universal understanding and commitment to immediately report any allegations of sexual abuse or harassment, which increases the probability that abuse will be detected, reported and investigated.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## Standard 115.64: Staff first responder duties

### All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.64 (a)

- Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser?  Yes  No
- Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence?  Yes  No
- Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?  Yes  No
- Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?  Yes  No

#### 115.64 (b)

- If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff?  Yes  No

### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

#### Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 14.7
3. PREA Checklist
4. Review of investigative files
5. Interviews with Random Staff, PCM, Investigator

#### Findings:

The KYDOC policy is written in accordance with the standard and indicates actions staff should take in the event of learning an inmate has been sexually assaulted. Policy requires that when an inmate reports an incident of sexual abuse, the responding staff member: Separate the alleged victim and alleged abuser, Preserve and protect and evidence, if the abuse allegedly occurred within a time period that would allow the collection of evidence the first responded advise the victim not take any actions that would destroy any evidence, and take action to prevent the alleged abuser from destroying evidence.

The auditor reviewed investigative files that indicate staff are appropriately responding to allegations of sexual assault, including preservation and/or collection of physical evidence.

The auditor was not able to interview any inmates during the on-site portion of the audit who had reported sexual abuse due to them no longer being at the facility.

The Auditor conducted formal and informal interviews with staff first responders. Security first responders were asked to explain the steps they would take following an alleged sexual abuse reported to them. Most all staff interviewed said that they would notify their supervisor after separating the inmates and wait for further instructions. The staff were able to appropriately describe their response procedures and the steps they would take, including separating the alleged perpetrator and victim and securing the scene and any potential evidence. The Auditor was informed the scene would be preserved and remain so until the assigned Investigator arrived to process the scene. A targeted



interview with the Investigator indicated that once the initial steps were done and the scene was secure, the State Police would be notified, depending on the nature of the investigation.

The Auditor conducted interviews with supervisory staff. The Auditor asked what the supervisor response and role would be following a report of sexual assault. The supervisor stated that they would ensure the alleged victim and alleged abuser were removed from the area and kept separately in the facility. The crime scene would be secured and a staff member posted to ensure no one entered the scene. The alleged victim would be taken to medical for treatment of any emergent needs and transported to the ER for a forensic exam, if needed. The PCM would also be informed. The supervisor stated the Investigator(s) would be the only ones allowed in the crime scene to process the evidence.

Policy requires that if the first responder is not a security staff member, the staff immediately notify a security staff member. The Auditor conducted formal interviews with non-security personnel. Staff were asked what actions they would take following an alleged sexual abuse reported to them. Staff indicated they would ensure the victim remains with them and immediately inform an officer or supervisor. They would also request the victim not take actions to destroy evidence.

Medical personnel interviewed stated they would first ensure a victim's emergency medical needs are met, being careful not to take any actions that would destroy potential evidence. They stated they would request the victim not to use the restroom, shower, or take any other actions which could destroy evidence. Medical staff informed the auditor they would immediately notify a supervisor if they were the first person to be notified of an alleged sexual abuse. Victims would be transported off-site to the local hospital for forensic exams if needed.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## Standard 115.65: Coordinated response

### All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.65 (a)

- Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse?  Yes  No

#### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

#### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 14.7
3. PREA Checklist
4. Sexual Assault Action Plan
4. Interview with PCM and Warden

Findings:

KYDOC policy is written in accordance with the standard. GRCC has a coordinated facility plan to address actions in response to an incident of sexual abuse among facility staff, including first responders, supervisory staff, medical, investigative staff and administrators. Interviews with multiple staff indicate that they understand their duties in responding to allegations of sexual assault and are knowledgeable in their role and the response actions they should take. The GRCC has a Facility Action plan listing actions to be taken by staff for each type of sexual assault allegation to ensure that all aspects of the response are covered and nothing is missed. Many of the facility staff involved in responding to incidents of sexual abuse are a part of the incident review team.

The auditor reviewed investigative files that indicate staff are appropriately responding to allegations of sexual assault, including preservation and/or collection of physical evidence.

The auditor interviewed the Warden, a designated investigator, as well as the PCM, and multiple line staff, who all described the facility's coordinated response in the case of an allegation of sexual abuse or harassment. The response begins with the allegation and first responder action to protect the victim, secure the crime scene and protect any potential evidence. The initial investigation begins with the first responders and supervisors and then the facility investigators. Depending on the nature of the allegation, the investigation will either begin as administrative or criminal. In the case of a criminal investigation, the victim is treated in accordance with policy and provided a forensic exam and ancillary services, as well as advocacy services. The remainder of the investigation is dictated by the nature of the allegation. Regardless, all investigations are completed and a finding is assigned. It may be referred for criminal prosecution or handled administratively and could require medical and mental health services and monitoring for retaliation and notice to the victim about the outcome of the investigation.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## **Standard 115.66: Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers**

**All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**

### **115.66 (a)**

- Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted?  Yes  No

#### 115.66 (b)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

#### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

#### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

#### Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. Memo

#### Interviews with the following:

- PREA Coordinator

#### Findings:

The GRCC has not entered into any agreement that limits the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted.

The KYDOC prohibits entering into a collective bargaining agreement. The Kentucky Department of Corrections does not have any collective bargaining power therefore this standard is non-applicable.

Per memo and interview with the PREA Coordinator, the auditor verified that there is not a collective bargaining agreement in place.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## Standard 115.67: Agency protection against retaliation

### All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.67 (a)

- Has the agency established a policy to protect all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other inmates or staff?  Yes  No
- Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation?  Yes  No

#### 115.67 (b)

- Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for inmate victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or inmate abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services, for inmates or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations?  Yes  No

#### 115.67 (c)

- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff?  Yes  No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff?  Yes  No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation?  Yes  No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any inmate disciplinary reports?  Yes  No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate housing changes?  Yes  No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate program changes?  Yes  No

- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff?  Yes  No
- Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignments of staff?  Yes  No
- Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need?  Yes  No

#### 115.67 (d)

- In the case of inmates, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks?  Yes  No

#### 115.67 (e)

- If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation?  Yes  No

#### 115.67 (f)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

#### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

#### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

#### Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 14.7

#### Interviews with the following:

- PCM
- Warden

## Findings:

The agency's policy is written in accordance with the standard and states retaliation by or against any party, staff or offender, involved in a complaint or report of sexual abuse or sexual harassment shall be strictly prohibited. Retaliation in and of itself, shall be grounds for disciplinary action and will be investigated. Policy requires staff and inmates who report allegations of sexual abuse or harassment are protected from retaliation for making such reports. Policy indicates that the PCM is designated as the staff who will be responsible for monitoring retaliation for a minimum period of 90 days. Policy states monitoring shall occur beyond ninety (90) days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need and monitoring shall cease if the investigation determines that the allegation is unfounded

The Auditor conducted a formal interview with the staff member responsible for monitoring retaliation. The Auditor asked the staff member how she goes about monitoring retaliation. The staff member stated she reviews disciplinary charges and Incident Reports, program and work assignments and any other actions related to the inmate including documents maintained in the inmate's file and his electronic record.

The Auditor asked the staff member the amount of time she will monitor for acts of retaliation. She stated the monitoring period would be a minimum of 90 days, and longer if necessary. In the event the inmate cannot be protected at the facility, the staff can and will recommend a transfer. She indicated they take PREA allegations very seriously and monitoring begins on the first day of the allegation.

In the case of an offender being retaliated on by staff, the administration will discuss staff assignments with the supervisor to ensure the staff member is not placed in an area where the inmate is housed. The inmate can also be transferred, if need be, at the request of staff.

The PCM and Unit Administrators have the authority to move inmates around the facility or to request transfers to other facilities or take other protective measures to assure inmates are not retaliated against. In addition, the Warden has the authority and would intervene in any way necessary to protect employees from retaliation if they reported incidents of sexual abuse or harassment.

The auditor reviewed examples of monitoring for retaliation provided by the facility and found them to be in compliance with the standard. In addition, staff interviews confirmed their knowledge of the requirements for protection from retaliation for both inmates and staff members. The agency has prepared forms that include checklists that would assure and verify compliance with the necessary elements of the standard.

The facility reported there were no incidents of retaliation in the last 12 months.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## Standard 115.68: Post-allegation protective custody

### All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.68 (a)

- Is any and all use of segregated housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements of § 115.43?  Yes  No

## Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

## Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy
3. Review of all Investigative Files from the past 12 Months

Interviews with the following:

- PCM
- Staff who supervise inmates in RH

Observation of the following:

- Observation of Inmates in restrictive housing

Findings:

The agency's policy is written in accordance with the standard and requires the use of segregated housing be subjected to the requirements of PREA standard 115.43. Both formal and informal interviews with staff state they would not place an inmate in segregation for reporting sexual abuse or assault. Staff indicated they would not ordinarily place a sexual assault victim in segregation unless he had requested it. Staff explained that other alternatives are explored and segregation is utilized as a last resort. The Auditor was informed of and observed several areas in the facility to place sexual abuse victims to ensure they are protected from abusers without having to place the victim in segregated housing.

The auditor reviewed all of the GRCC restrictive housing areas and through informal discussions with supervising staff and officers, no staff indicated that inmates were assigned to restrictive housing as a result of their sexual vulnerability. Staff indicated that if an inmate that made an allegation were to be held in restrictive housing, it would be very briefly until other housing was arranged.

The agency has had no incidents that have required restrictive protective custody. Interviews with the supervisory staff as well as the PCM and Unit Administrators confirmed their knowledge of their requirements to appropriately adhere to the elements of standard 115.43, after a victim's allegation of abuse.

In addition, during targeted interviews with the Unit Administrator and PCM, they both verified that there have been no instances of inmates being placed in restrictive housing as a result of the sexual victimization or vulnerability. There were no records or documentation to review regarding this standard because there were no instances of the use of restrictive housing to protect and inmate who was alleged to have suffered sexual abuse.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## INVESTIGATIONS

### Standard 115.71: Criminal and administrative agency investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.71 (a)

- When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? [N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).]  Yes  No  NA
- Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? [N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).]  Yes  No  NA

#### 115.71 (b)

- Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.34?  Yes  No

#### 115.71 (c)

- Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data?  Yes  No
- Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses?  
 Yes  No
- Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator?  Yes  No

#### 115.71 (d)

- When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution?  Yes  No



#### 115.71 (e)

- Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as inmate or staff?  Yes  No
- Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring an inmate who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding?  Yes  No

#### 115.71 (f)

- Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse?  Yes  No
- Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings?  Yes  No

#### 115.71 (g)

- Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible?  Yes  No

#### 115.71 (h)

- Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution?  Yes  No

#### 115.71 (i)

- Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.71(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years?  Yes  No

#### 115.71 (j)

- Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation?  Yes  No

#### 115.71 (k)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

#### 115.71 (l)

- When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)  Yes  No  NA

## Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

## Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

### Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 14.7
3. Review of Investigative files
4. Interviews with Staff
5. Documentation of Investigator Training
6. Certificates of Completion for Facility Investigators
7. Training Curricula for Investigative Training specific to Corrections

### Findings:

The KYDOC policy is written in accordance with the standard. Policy requires that the agency conduct administrative investigations of sexual abuse and harassment. The policy stipulates criminal investigations shall be conducted by the State Police, depending on the nature of the investigation. The agency policy stipulates that they will respond to complaints that are received internally and externally by a third party. The policy requires that investigations are responded to promptly. The GRCC conducts an investigation on all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports. The policy requires administrative investigations to include efforts to determine whether staff actions or failure to act contributed to an act of sexual abuse. Investigative reports are required to include a description of physical evidence, testimonial evidence, the reason behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings. The auditor reviewed investigative reports for the 8 allegations of sexual misconduct during the past 12 months. All reports contained the required elements as dictated by the standard. The report format is standardized throughout the DOC and review and oversight for all allegations is completed through the PREA Coordinator's office.

If at any time during the investigation, it appears the charges are criminal in nature, the investigation will be referred to the State Police. The facility is required to maintain written investigative reports for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the GRCC, plus an additional 5 years in accordance with DOC records retention schedules. Policy prohibits the termination of an investigation if an inmate is released or a staff member is terminated or terminates employment. The auditor reviewed 2 investigative files that were referred to the State Police and subsequently referred for prosecution.

GRCC investigators are required by policy to cooperate with outside investigators and attempt to communicate to remain informed about the progress of a sexual abuse investigation. According to a targeted interview with one of the designated Investigators, if the State Police were to conduct an investigation of sexual abuse, the facility investigator serves as a liaison and would keep facility administrators informed of the progress of the investigation. The investigator stated that if the State Police investigate an allegation, they typically work together and share information. There have been two investigations referred to the KSP during this audit period. The investigative reports indicate collaboration between GRCC Investigators and the KSP.

At the time of the on-site audit, GRCC employs and provided training records for 19 staff members who have received specialized training to conduct sexual abuse investigations in confinement facilities. The auditor was provided training curricula and training certificates of designated investigators. The auditor reviewed and verified that each of the facility investigators had proof of receiving the specialized training required by the standard. Each investigator had received specialized training to conduct sexual abuse investigations in confinement settings. Targeted interviews with a facility investigator verified they are available to respond immediately, if necessary.

The Auditor conducted a formal interview with one of the facility's designated PREA Investigators. The Auditor asked the Investigator to describe his process when he is conducting an investigation. He stated he interviews the victim, alleged perpetrator, inmate witnesses, and staff witnesses, if applicable. He stated he reviews the scene, and preserves any evidence, if necessary. He reviews criminal histories on all inmates involved, disciplinary history, incident reports, classification actions, and any other relevant paperwork. The investigator reviews video footage if applicable, telephone recordings, staff logs, and any other relevant items which could be considered evidence to support the determination. He will notify the PCM and facility administration of the allegation. If at any point during the investigation he determines there could be potential criminal charges involved, the investigation would be reviewed and discussed and State Police would be contacted. The facility or the KSP can contact the Commonwealth Attorney for referral and consultation as warranted. The Investigator stated he begins the investigation immediately after receiving an allegation.

All investigative files are maintained electronically in the KOMS system with limited access. Investigative files are maintained for a minimum of five years after the abuser has been released or a staff abuser is no longer employed. In accordance with KDOC policy, an offender who alleges sexual abuse shall not be required to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition to proceed with the sexual abuse investigation.

If an allegation is reported anonymously, the Investigator stated the investigation would be handled the same as any other investigation. Staff indicate they would continue the investigation even if an inmate is released or a staff member terminates employment during the investigation.

The GRCC has had 8 incidents that required investigation during the review period. The auditor reviewed investigative reports for all 8 allegations of sexual misconduct during the past 12 months. A review of the investigative files indicate that the investigators are conducting the investigations in accordance with the standard. The reports show evidence that the investigator is gathering evidence, interviewing witnesses, victims, perpetrators, and conducting the investigation promptly. The investigation appears to be conducted thoroughly and objectively, with a determination made based on the evidence.

There have been two allegations referred for criminal investigation to the Kentucky State Police during this audit period, which resulted in referrals for prosecution.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

## Standard 115.72: Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations

### All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.72 (a)

- Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated?  Yes  No

#### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

#### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

#### Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

- GRCC Completed PAQ
- KYDOC Policy 14.7
- Review of Investigative files for the past 12 months

#### Interviews with the following:

- PCM
- Investigative Staff

#### Findings:

The agency's policy is in compliance with the requirements of the standard and imposes no standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated.

A formal interview with one of the designated Investigators confirmed that the staff responsible for administrative adjudication of investigations is aware of the requirements of the evidentiary standard. The investigator was able to articulate what preponderance meant and how he arrives at the basis for his determinations. There have been 8 allegations of sexual abuse or harassment within the last 12 months for which the auditor reviewed the investigative files. A review of the files indicates that the investigations are being conducted in accordance with the standard.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## Standard 115.73: Reporting to inmates

### All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.73 (a)

- Following an investigation into an inmate's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded?  Yes  No

#### 115.73 (b)

- If the agency did not conduct the investigation into an inmate's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the inmate? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.)  Yes  No  NA

#### 115.73 (c)

- Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the inmate whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit?  Yes  No
- Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the inmate whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility?  Yes  No
- Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the inmate whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility?  Yes  No
- Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the inmate whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?  Yes  No

#### 115.73 (d)

- Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the

alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?

Yes  No

- Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?

Yes  No

#### 115.73 (e)

- Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications?  Yes  No

#### 115.73 (f)

- Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

#### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

**Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)

**Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)

**Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

#### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

#### Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 14.7
3. Review of investigative files and notification to inmate

#### Interviews with the following:

- PCM
- PREA Coordinator
- Investigator

#### Findings:

The agency policy is written in accordance with the standard and requires an inmate be notified when a sexual abuse allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded following an investigation. The auditor conducted targeted interviews with the PCM and Investigator. The agency is responsible for administrative investigations. There has been one allegation referred to the GRCC during this audit period, which is still pending at the time of the on-site review.

Staff indicated that inmates are informed of the results of an investigation at the conclusion of the investigation. A standardized form is used throughout the department for offender notification. There is a notification form for offender allegations, as well as staff allegations.

During the past 12 months, there have been 8 allegations of sexual abuse or harassment. There were no inmates who reported sexual abuse or harassment at GRCC during the on-site portion of the audit.

The Auditor reviewed the investigative files for all reported allegations of sexual assault during the review period. The GRCC made notification to the inmates at the conclusion of the investigation as required. Interviews with a facility investigator and PCM confirmed their knowledge of their affirmative requirement to report investigative finding to inmates in custody.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## DISCIPLINE

### Standard 115.76: Disciplinary sanctions for staff

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.76 (a)

- Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies?  Yes  No

#### 115.76 (b)

- Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse?  Yes  No

#### 115.76 (c)

- Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories?  Yes  No

#### 115.76 (d)

- Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?  Yes  No
- Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?  Yes  No

## Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

## Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 3.22
3. Interviews with Staff

Findings:

The KYDOC PREA and disciplinary policies were reviewed and are in compliance with the requirements of the standard. Staff is subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating the sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. Policy requires that staff found responsible for sexual abuse of an inmate shall be terminated from employment. Employees who are found to have violated agency policy related to sexual abuse and harassment, but not actually engaging in sexual abuse shall be disciplined in a manner commensurate with the nature and circumstances or the acts as well as the previous disciplinary history of the staff and comparable to other comparable offenses by other staff with similar disciplinary histories.

According to the submitted PAQ, in the past 12 months, there was one staff termination or disciplinary actions related to the sexual abuse or harassment of inmates. The investigation had been referred to KSP and resulted in a referral for prosecution. The auditor reviewed the investigative report and found that the facility was in compliance with policy and the provisions of the standard.

Interviews with facility staff and administrators verified that staff consider a violation of the PREA policy to be of sufficient seriousness to warrant termination and prosecution in accordance with the law. In both formal and informal staff interviews, the staff was aware that the agency has a zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and any such incidents would be investigated and reported to the appropriate agency for prosecution, if necessary.

The Auditor interviewed facility administration regarding the facility's staff disciplinary policy. Facility administration indicated that if a staff member is terminated for violating the facility's sexual assault and harassment policy, and if the conduct is criminal in nature, it would be referred to the State Police and Commonwealth Attorney's office for possible prosecution. If an employee under investigation resigns before the investigation is complete, or resigns in lieu of termination, that does not terminate the investigation or the possibility of prosecution if the conduct is criminal in nature. The facility would still refer the case to the Commonwealth Attorney's office when a staff member terminates employment that



would have otherwise been terminated for committing a criminal act of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## Standard 115.77: Corrective action for contractors and volunteers

### All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.77 (a)

- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with inmates?  Yes  No
- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?  Yes  No
- Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?  Yes  No

#### 115.77 (b)

- In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with inmates?  Yes  No

### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 3.22
3. Interviews with Staff

## Findings:

The KYDOC PREA and disciplinary policies were reviewed and are in compliance with the requirements of the standard. Policy stipulates that contractors and volunteers who violate the sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies are prohibited from having contact with inmates and will have their security clearance for the DOC and GRCC revoked. In the past 12 months, there has been one substantiated instance where volunteers or contractors have engaged in sexual abuse or harassment. The auditor reviewed the investigative report for this incident and found that the facility is in compliance with the provisions of the standard.

A targeted interview with 5 contract staff members verified that they consider a violation of the PREA policy to be of sufficient seriousness to warrant termination from the facility. The contract staff were aware that the agency has a zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and any such incidents would be investigated and reported to the appropriate agency for prosecution, if necessary.

The Auditor interviewed facility administration regarding the disciplinary policy regarding contract staff and volunteers. Facility administration indicated that contractors and volunteers who violate the sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies will have their security clearance revoked immediately. Contract staff would most likely be terminated by the contract employer. If the conduct is criminal in nature, it will be referred to investigators, with referral to the State Police and the Commonwealth Attorney's office for possible prosecution, as well as reported to any relevant licensing bodies. These actions were reflected in the investigative report reviewed by the auditor.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## Standard 115.78: Disciplinary sanctions for inmates

### All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.78 (a)

- Following an administrative finding that an inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, are inmates subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process?  Yes  No

#### 115.78 (b)

- Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories?  Yes  No

#### 115.78 (c)

- When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether an inmate's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior?  Yes  No

#### 115.78 (d)

- If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending inmate to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits?  Yes  No

#### 115.78 (e)

- Does the agency discipline an inmate for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact?  Yes  No

#### 115.78 (f)

- For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation?  Yes  No

#### 115.78 (g)

- If the agency prohibits all sexual activity between inmates, does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between inmates to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between inmates.)  Yes  No  NA

### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

#### Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 15.2, 14.7
3. Inmate Handbook
4. Review of Investigative Files
5. Review of Classification Records
6. Interviews with Staff

## Findings:

The KYDOC policy directs that inmates are not permitted to engage in non-coercive sexual contact and may be disciplined for such behavior. Policy dictates that staff is prohibited from disciplining an inmate who makes a report of sexual abuse in good faith and based on a reasonable belief the incident occurred, even if the investigation does not establish sufficient evidence to substantiate the allegation.

GRCC prohibits sexual activity between inmates. Inmates found to have participated in sexual activity may be internally disciplined for such activity. If the sexual activity between inmates is found to be consensual, staff will not consider the sexual activity as an act of sexual abuse. Instances of sexual activity between inmates, if reported to be consensual, are still initially investigated and each case is taken at face value.

KYDOC policy states inmates are subject to formal disciplinary action following an administrative finding that they engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse. According to the submitted PAQ, there have been no substantiated instances of inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse or substantiated allegations of staff on inmate sexual abuse or harassment. There have been no criminal findings of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse. The auditor reviewed the investigative files for all 8 allegations of sexual misconduct within the last 12 months.

According to policy, disciplinary action for inmates is proportional to the abuse committed as well as the history of sanctions for similar offenses by other inmates with similar histories.

Agency policy requires that staff consider whether an inmate's mental health contributed to their behavior before determining their disciplinary sanctions.

There is mental health staff on site to provide mental health services to the inmates at GRCC. Mental health staff provides an array of services, including programming, supportive counseling and crisis intervention. Mental health staff are on call for emergent needs and can transfer inmates if they need more in-depth mental health treatment. Any decision to offer counseling or therapy to offenders and the initiation of any such counseling or therapy for individuals who have committed sexual offenses would be done at the discretion of the mental health staff in conjunction with a treatment plan for the offender.

Agency policy stipulates that inmates will not be disciplined for sexual contact with staff unless it is substantiated that the staff did not consent. There were no substantiated instances of inmate on staff sexual assault during the audit period

Agency policy prohibits disciplining inmates who make allegations in good faith with a reasonable belief that prohibited conduct occurred. Interviews with staff and inmates confirm that GRCC is adhering to the provisions of the standard.

Interviews with staff and inmates confirmed their knowledge of the policy regarding inmates engaging in non-coerced sexual activity. Furthermore, the staff and inmates were aware that the agency has an internal disciplinary process for inmates who engage in sexually abusive behavior against other inmates and knew that they could be disciplined for sexual abuse.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## MEDICAL AND MENTAL CARE

### Standard 115.81: Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.81 (a)

- If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.)  
 Yes  No  NA

#### 115.81 (b)

- If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.)  Yes  No  NA

#### 115.81 (c)

- If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a jail inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening?  Yes  No  NA

#### 115.81 (d)

- Is any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff as necessary to inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law?  
 Yes  No

#### 115.81 (e)

- Do medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the inmate is under the age of 18?  Yes  No

#### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)

- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

#### Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 14.7
3. PREA Screening and Follow-up
4. Random Review of Files
5. Follow up mental health referral within 14 days
6. Interviews with Staff, including the following:
  - a. PCM
  - b. MH Staff
  - c. Medical Staff
7. Interviews with Inmates

#### Findings:

The agency's policy is consistent with the requirements of the standards. The policy requires staff to offer a follow-up meeting with medical or mental health staff within 14 days of arrival at the facility for an inmate that reports sexual victimization, either in an institutional setting or in the community. It is the policy of the KYDOC to identify, monitor and counsel inmates who are at risk of sexual victimization, as well as those who have a history of sexually assaultive behavior.

A random review of inmate files validated that the screenings were being conducted in accordance with the standards and the policy. In addition, there were several documented instances provided by the facility where inmates who were identified as needing follow up care, were offered the follow-up care within the 14-day period prescribed by the standards. An interview with medical staff and mental health staff confirms that if an inmate answers yes on the screening question that they have experienced previous victimization, it automatically triggers an alert for a referral and the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting, which is scheduled at that time. The mental health provider indicated that the 14 day follow-ups entailed a face to face meeting with the inmate.

Of the currently housed inmates at the time of the on-site review, there were 6 inmates identified as having reported previous sexual victimization that were interviewed during the targeted inmate interviews. The inmates all recall being offered mental health services due to documentation or self-report of previous victimization.

The Auditor conducted a formal interview with mental health staff. The staff member indicated that inmates identified as needing follow-up care are scheduled to be seen within 14 days. When asked who this information would be shared with, he was clear about confidentiality and that this information would be only be shared with those who needed to know. He also indicated that at the initiation of services, staff explained the limits of confidentiality so that the inmates understood what they could

discuss that was confidential and what would need to be reported. MH staff confirm that services are offered to both inmates at risk of victimization, as well as inmates who have a history of sexually assaultive behavior.

This information is recorded in the KOMS electronic system and each staff member with access has an individual login and password. An interview with the PREA Coordinator and PCM confirmed that information related to sexual victimization and sexual abusiveness is kept secure and confidential with limited staff access. This information is limited access and only used to make housing, bed, work, education, and other program assignments.

KYDOC policy states that medical and mental health personnel will obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the inmate is under the age of 18. Interviews with medical and mental health staff confirm that they would gain informed consent before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting. The auditor reviewed examples provided by the facility of completed informed consent forms.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## **Standard 115.82: Access to emergency medical and mental health services**

### **All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**

#### **115.82 (a)**

- Do inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment?  
 Yes  No

#### **115.82 (b)**

- If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.62?  Yes  No
- Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners?  Yes  No

#### **115.82 (c)**

- Are inmate victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate?  Yes  No

#### **115.82 (d)**

- Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?  
 Yes  No

## Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

## Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

### Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 14.7
3. Shift Supervisor Checklist
4. Interviews with Staff, including the following:
  - a. PCM
  - b. Investigator
  - c. Medical Staff
  - d. Random Security Staff
5. Interviews with Inmates

### Findings:

The KYDOC policy is written in compliance with the standard and states that all inmate victims of sexual abuse will receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services. Interviews with medical staff confirm that victims of sexual abuse would receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services. Contract medical staff through Wellpath provide coverage 24 hours per day, seven days a week. The staff are aware of their responsibilities with regard to protection of the victim and evidence in the case of a report of sexual assault. In addition, the contracted medical and mental health staff are available 24 hours per day in the case of emergency and/or for crisis intervention services. This was confirmed by the PCM, mental health and medical staff. For services that are outside the scope of their experience, the victim can be treated at the local emergency department. Forensic exams are conducted off-site at the local emergency department by qualified forensic nurse examiners. An advocate from the rape crisis center, Sanctuary, Inc. is available at the request of the victim. The availability of these services was verified by the Auditor via telephone conversation with Sanctuary staff.

There were no documented allegations of sexual abuse requiring emergency medical or mental health services during the review period.

KYDOC policy states that all inmate victims of sexual abuse will be offered information and access to sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate. Medical staff was interviewed and confirmed the fact that they knew



that they had an affirmative responsibility to provide care without regard to the ability of the victim pay for services or identify the alleged abuser, and the requirement to make a provision for STD prophylaxis if required. They confirmed that victims of sexual abuse would be offered these services either at the emergency room or as a follow-up once returned to the facility. There have been no allegations of sexual assault at the GRCC in the last 12 months requiring these services.

GRCC policy states that forensic examinations will be performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFE's) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANE) at a local hospital without a financial cost to the victim. The HSA confirmed that inmates needing SANE exams would be transported to Owensboro Hospital. Interviews with medical staff confirm that victims of sexual abuse would not be charged for services received as a result of a sexual abuse incident. There have been no allegations of sexual assault at the GRCC in the last 12 months requiring these services.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## **Standard 115.83: Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers**

### **All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**

#### **115.83 (a)**

- Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility?  Yes  No

#### **115.83 (b)**

- Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody?  Yes  No

#### **115.83 (c)**

- Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care?  Yes  No

#### **115.83 (d)**

- Are inmate victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if "all-male" facility. *Note: in "all-male" facilities, there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.*)  Yes  No  NA

#### **115.83 (e)**

- If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.83(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if "all-male" facility. *Note: in "all-male" facilities, there may be*

*inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.)*  Yes  No  NA

#### 115.83 (f)

- Are inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate?  Yes  No

#### 115.83 (g)

- Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?  Yes  No

#### 115.83 (h)

- If the facility is a prison, does it attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners? (NA if the facility is a jail.)  Yes  No  NA

#### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

#### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

#### Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 14.7
3. Interviews with Staff, including the following:
  - a. Mental Health Staff
  - b. Medical Staff
4. Interviews with Inmates

**Findings:**

The KYDOC policy is written in compliance with the standard and states that the facility will offer medical and mental health evaluation and treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility. The evaluation and treatment of such victims will include follow up services, treatment plans, and referrals for continued care following their transfer or release. Interviews with medical and mental health staff confirm that these services would be available to inmates who have been victims of sexual abuse, and these services would be consistent with the community level of care.

Inmate victims of sexual abuse while in the facility will be offered tests for sexually transmitted infections, as medically appropriate. Interviews with medical staff confirm that inmate victims of sexual abuse would be offered tests for sexually transmitted infections and emergency prophylaxis. KYDOC policy states that all treatment services for sexual abuse will be provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident. Interviews with medical staff confirm that these services would be provided to the inmate at no cost. There have been no allegations of sexual assault at the GRCC in the last 12 months requiring these services.

The auditor reviewed documentation provided by the facility of ongoing services and mental health care for inmates identified as victims. In addition, the facility provided documentation of mental health evaluation and follow-up of identified inmate-on-inmate abusers. In a targeted interview with the mental health staff, he stated that both high-risk victims and high-risk abusers would be offered services. The interviews with staff and review of related documentation confirm that inmates determined to be at risk for sexual victimization or abusiveness are referred to mental health. In addition, referrals are made to mental health following PREA incidents, for both victims and alleged perpetrators.

Staff interviews confirmed the presence of policies and procedures consistent with the standard and also confirmed the medical and mental health staffs' knowledge of the policy and standard. Staff are well-versed in their responsibilities with respect to PREA related incidents. Interviews with inmates confirm they are generally aware of the availability of services should they request or require them. Sanctuary, Inc., the local rape crisis center is available for crisis counseling and/or advocacy services and inmates can request to speak with mental health. There were no allegations of sexual assault during this review period where the inmate was transferred to the hospital. The HSA confirmed that availability of Owensboro Hospital, if needed.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## DATA COLLECTION AND REVIEW

### Standard 115.86: Sexual abuse incident reviews

#### All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

##### 115.86 (a)

- Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded?  Yes  No

### 115.86 (b)

- Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation?  
 Yes  No

### 115.86 (c)

- Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners?  Yes  No

### 115.86 (d)

- Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse?  Yes  No
- Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility?  Yes  No
- Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse?  Yes  No
- Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts?  Yes  No
- Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff?  Yes  No
- Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.86(d)(1) - (d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager?  
 Yes  No

### 115.86 (e)

- Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so?  Yes  No

### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 14.7
3. Incident Reviews
4. Interviews with Staff

Findings:

The KYDOC has a policy that governs the review of all substantiated or unsubstantiated allegations of sexual abuse. Agency policy states that a sexual abuse incident review will be conducted within 30 days after the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded. The review team will consist of upper-level management officials, supervisors, investigators, and medical/mental health personnel. During this review period there have been 8 total allegations of sexual misconduct and corresponding administrative allegations in the previous 12 months at GRCC. Of these allegations, 6 were sexual assault and 2 were sexual harassment. The auditor reviewed examples of the incident reviews provided by the facility. They were completed within 30 days and considered all elements as required by the standard.

In accordance with the standard, KYDOC policy states that the review team will consider a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse; if the incident or allegation was motivated by race, ethnicity, gender identity, lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, perceived status, gang affiliation; the area in the facility where the alleged incident occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may permit abuse; the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts; and whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff. An interview with two members of the incident review team confirms if there was an incident that required a review, all these factors would be considered. An interview with the PCM confirms that a report of the findings, including recommendations for improvement, would be completed and submitted for inclusion in the file. The Warden will review the recommendations. The PCM also stated any recommendations would be implemented, or the reasons for not doing so would be documented.

The GRCC has appointed a team that conducts incident reviews at the conclusion of any sexual assault investigations as stipulated by the standard. This was confirmed by formal interview of the Warden and PCM. A written report of the findings is prepared and maintained by the PCM. She indicated that the reviews take place within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation.

Sexual Abuse Incident Reviews are conducted in a standardized method department wide. Team members meet to discuss the various components required by the standard and then this is documented on the Sexual Abuse Incident Review Report Form. The PREA Coordinator's office and assigned staff track the incident reviews to ensure that they are complete and require a copy be submitted to them upon completion in the required timeframe. This oversight and standardization are completed for all sexual abuse related abuse allegations.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## Standard 115.87: Data collection

### All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

#### 115.87 (a)

- Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions?  Yes  No

#### 115.87 (b)

- Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually?  Yes  No

#### 115.87 (c)

- Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice?  Yes  No

#### 115.87 (d)

- Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews?  Yes  No

#### 115.87 (e)

- Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its inmates? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its inmates.)  Yes  No  NA

#### 115.87 (f)

- Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.)  Yes  No  NA

### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's*

*conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 14.7
3. Annual Report 2021
4. Monthly Report
5. Memo
6. Interviews with Staff

Findings:

The KYDOC policy is consistent with the requirements of the standard and states that the agency will collect annually accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice and complete an annual report based upon said data. The Auditor reviewed the Annual Report available on the facility website, including aggregated sexual abuse data for calendar year 2021.

An interview with the PREA Coordinator confirms the agency maintains, reviews, and collects data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews. Data from the previous calendar year is supplied to the Department of Justice no later than June 30th, if requested.

The facility is collecting and aggregating sexual abuse data on an annual basis as required by the standard. The report uses a standardized set of definitions, which are available on the facility website and in the KYDOC policy.

Each KYDOC facility, completes monthly reports and submits them to the PREA Coordinator's office for review. The KYDOC collects accurate, uniform data for every PREA related allegation using a standardized instrument and set of definitions using our Kentucky Offender Management System (KOMS). Each incident is logged in the KOMS system which allows for review and accurate collection of data throughout the agency.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility exceeds the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## **Standard 115.88: Data review for corrective action**

**All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**

### **115.88 (a)**

- Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas?  Yes  No
- Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies,

practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis?

Yes  No

- Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole?  Yes  No

#### 115.88 (b)

- Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse  Yes  No

#### 115.88 (c)

- Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?  Yes  No

#### 115.88 (d)

- Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility?  Yes  No

#### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

#### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ with ADP
2. Statistical Report 2021
3. Annual Report 2021
4. Website with sexual abuse data
5. Interviews with Staff



**Findings:**

The KYDOC policy is consistent with the requirements of the standard and indicates that data collected pursuant to 115.87 will be made readily available to the public through the agency website, excluding all personal identifiers after final approval. The Auditor reviewed the Annual Reports available on the agency website, including data for calendar year 2021. The reports indicate that the agency reviewed the data collected in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training. The report, entitled “Annual PREA Report” includes an overview of the facility’s plan for addressing sexual abuse and aggregated data. The annual report indicates the agency’s efforts to address sexual abuse include continually providing education and staff training, as well as evaluating processes and standardization. Interviews with the PREA Coordinator confirm these efforts.

The report is signed by the Commissioner and there is no personally identifying information in the report.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## **Standard 115.89: Data storage, publication, and destruction**

### **All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**

#### **115.89 (a)**

- Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 are securely retained?  
 Yes  No

#### **115.89 (b)**

- Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?  Yes  No

#### **115.89 (c)**

- Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available?  Yes  No

#### **115.89 (d)**

- Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.87 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise?  Yes  No

### **Auditor Overall Compliance Determination**

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)

- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

#### Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. GRCC Completed PAQ
2. KYDOC Policy 14.7
3. Annual Report
4. Statistical Report
5. KYDOC Website containing sexual abuse data
5. Interviews with Staff

#### Findings:

The GRCC policy is consistent with the requirements of the standard, which mandates that sexual abuse data be securely maintained and indicates that data collected pursuant to 115.87 will be made readily available to the public through the agency's website, excluding all personal identifiers after final approval by the Commissioner. Policy states the agency will ensure all data collected is securely retained for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise. All sexual abuse data and files are maintained in the KOMS electronic system, with limited facility access, including the PCM, and senior facility management. Aggregated sexual abuse data is gathered from the investigative reports. The Auditor reviewed the agency's website, which included annual reports with aggregated sexual abuse data, as well as an analysis of the data. There were no personal identifiers contained within the report. The Auditor was informed sexual abuse and sexual harassment data is maintained for a minimum of 10 years after collection.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## AUDITING AND CORRECTIVE ACTION

### Standard 115.401: Frequency and scope of audits

**All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report**

#### 115.401 (a)

- During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (*Note:*

The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)  Yes  No

#### 115.401 (b)

- Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (Note: a "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)  Yes  No
- If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is **not** the *second* year of the current audit cycle.)  Yes  No  NA
- If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is **not** the *third* year of the current audit cycle.)  Yes  No  NA

#### 115.401 (h)

- Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility?  Yes  No

#### 115.401 (i)

- Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)?  Yes  No

#### 115.401 (m)

- Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, residents, and detainees?  Yes  No

#### 115.401 (n)

- Were inmates permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel?  Yes  No

#### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

#### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. Previous Audit Report
2. PAQ
3. On-Site Review

Interviews with the following:

- PREA Coordinator
- Warden
- PCM
- Random and Targeted Inmates

Observation of the following:

- Observation of, and access to all areas of the GRCC during the site review

Findings:

The GRCC had its last PREA Audit December 7-9, 2020. The Auditor reviewed the facility's previous PREA report. The Auditor was given full access to the facility. The facility administration was open to feedback and all recommendations were implemented immediately. The facility provided the Auditor with a detailed tour of the facility. The Auditor was able to request, review and receive all requested documents, reports, files, video, and other information requested, including electronically stored information. All requested documentation was provided in a timely manner.

All staff at GRCC cooperated with the Auditor and allowed the Auditor to conduct interviews with staff and inmates in a private area. The auditor was permitted to conduct unimpeded private interviews with inmates at the GRCC, both informally and formally. The Auditor was given private interview rooms to interview inmates, which were convenient to inmate housing areas. The GRCC staff facilitated getting the inmates to the auditor for interviews in a timely and efficient manner. Informal interviews with inmates confirm that they were aware of the audit and the ability to communicate with the auditor.

The auditor was able to observe both inmates and staff in various settings.

Prior to the on-site review, letters were sent to the facility to be posted in all inmate living areas which included the Auditor's address. The Auditor observed notices posted in each inmate living unit that were emailed to the PREA Compliance Manager prior to the Audit. The Auditor received documentation that the notices to inmates were posted two weeks in advance of the first day of the audit. The auditor received no confidential letters from inmates at GRCC.

The facility had an onsite review and audit within the three-year period of the last audit and has completed the onsite review and audit process. The KYDOC ensures that all facilities are audited within the three-year cycle. After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

## Standard 115.403: Audit contents and findings

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

### 115.403 (f)

- The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AUDIT. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or in the case of single facility agencies that there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.)  Yes  No  NA

### Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

- Exceeds Standard** (*Substantially exceeds requirement of standards*)
- Meets Standard** (*Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period*)
- Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

### Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

*The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.*

Evidence Relied upon to make Compliance Determination:

1. Previous Audit Report
2. KYDOC Website

Interviews with the following:

- PREA Coordinator

Findings:

The Auditor reviewed the KYDOC website which contains a link for the December 2020 PREA Audit Report.

After a review, the Auditor determined the facility meets the requirements of the standard.

Corrective Action: None

# AUDITOR CERTIFICATION

I certify that:

- The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.
- No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review, and
- I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.

## Auditor Instructions:

Type your full name in the text box below for Auditor Signature. This will function as your official electronic signature. Auditors must deliver their final report to the PREA Resource Center as a searchable PDF format to ensure accessibility to people with disabilities. Save this report document into a PDF format prior to submission.<sup>1</sup> Auditors are not permitted to submit audit reports that have been scanned.<sup>2</sup> See the PREA Auditor Handbook for a full discussion of audit report formatting requirements.

Lori M Fadorick

12/22/2022

**Auditor Signature**

**Date**

<sup>1</sup> See additional instructions here: <https://support.office.com/en-us/article/Save-or-convert-to-PDF-d85416c5-7d77-4fd6-a216-6f4bf7c7c110>.

<sup>2</sup> See *PREA Auditor Handbook*, Version 1.0, August 2017; Pages 68-69.